



AGENDA

**Town of Nags Head Planning Board
Nags Head Municipal Complex Board Room
Tuesday, September 17th, 2024; 9:00 a.m.**

- A. Call To Order
- B. Approval Of Agenda
- C. Public Comment/Audience Response
- D. Approval Of Minutes
August 20th, 2024 Planning Board Meeting.

Documents:

[AUGUST 20 2024 DRAFT MINUTES.PDF](#)

- E. Discussion And Community Engagement Session
on potential allowance of Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADUs) within the town.

Documents:

[ADU DISCUSSION MEMO FOR PB.PDF](#)

- F. Action Items

- 1. Consideration Of A Special Use/Site Plan Review
submitted by Quible & Associates, P.C. and Beacon Architecture, PLLC, on behalf of the Town of Nags Head, for the construction of a 2-story, 8-bedroom dormitory. The property is zoned SED-80, Special Environmental District and is located at 425 W. Health Center Drive.

Documents:

[SED-80 WORKFORCE DORMITORY FOR PB.PDF](#)
[P01057.1-BASE-2024-09-13.PDF](#)

- 2. Consideration Of Text Amendments
within the SPD-C, Village at Nags Head Commercial-1 Zoning Designation to accommodate future construction of Dare County EMS Station.

Documents:

[VILLAGE C-1 EMS AMENDMENTS .PDF](#)

G. Report On Board Of Commissioners Actions
September 4th, 2024

Documents:

[SEP 4 2024 BOC ACTIONS KW HIGHLIGHTS.PDF](#)

H. Town Updates - As Requested

I. Discussion Items

1. Continued Discussion
of minimum required parking standards for hotel uses and restaurant use.

Documents:

[HOTEL PARKING STANDARD DISCUSSION FOR PB.PDF](#)

2. Planning & Development Directors Report
August 28th, 2024

Documents:

[DIRECTORS REPORT FOR SEPTEMBER BOC.PDF](#)

J. Planning Board Members' Agenda

K. Planning Board Chairman's Agenda

L. Adjournment

**Town of Nags Head
Planning Board
August 20th, 2024
- DRAFT -**

The Planning Board of the Town of Nags Head met on Tuesday, August 20th, 2024, in the Board Room at the Nags Head Municipal Complex.

Chair Vaughan called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. as a quorum was present.

Members Present

Megan Vaughan, Meade Gwinn, Molly Harrison, David Thompson, Gary Ferguson, Kristi Wright,

Members Absent

David Elder

Others Present

Kelly Wyatt, Joe Costello, Andy Garman, Lily Nieberding

Approval of Agenda

Meade Gwinn moved to approve the agenda as presented. Kristi Wright seconded, and the motion passed by unanimous vote.

Public Comment/Audience Response

None

Approval of Minutes

Chair Vaughan asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the July 16th, 2024, meeting. David Thompson moved to approve the minutes as corrected; Meade Gwinn seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

Action Items

Consideration of a Map Amendment request submitted by Chris Greening of Coastal Bluewater Capital, LLC, as authorized by property owner Mazzi, LLC to rezone the property located at 0 W. Satterfield Landing Road from C-3, Commercial Services to C-2, General Commercial. This is the vacant property west of TW's Bait and Tackle.

Planning Director Kelly Wyatt explained that Chris Greening of Coastal Bluewater Capital, LLC, owner of TW's, had submitted a zoning map amendment request with authorization from current property owner, Mazzi, LLC. If adopted, this map amendment would rezone the property located at 0 W. Satterfield Landing Road (Lot 2a-1r of the Charles Sineath Subdivision, Parcel # 005618002) from C-3, Commercial Services District to C-2, General Commercial District.

Ms. Wyatt noted that in late 2017, the property owner at the time, TLG Greenwater Investments, submitted a request to rezone the easternmost 50 feet of this lot from C-3, Commercial Services, to C-2, General Commercial Services. This rezoning was part of a planned transfer and recombination of the affected portion with Lot 1a-1 (TW's Bait and Tackle). After the map amendment was adopted, TW's Bait and Tackle constructed the accessory storage structure currently on the property.

In November 2022, the Board of Commissioners approved a Special Use Permit/Site Plan Review, submitted by Mazzi LLC, for the construction of a "Trade Center", with parking and all associated improvements. When the November 2022 approval expired, the Board of Commissioners re-approved the same requested scope of work at their February 7, 2024, meeting. This new approval is valid until February 7, 2025. Ms. Wyatt noted that if the requested rezoning is approved, the Trade Center use would no longer be permitted, as that use is not allowed in the C2 zoning district.

When considering a possible re-zoning it is helpful to review the intent of both the giving and receiving zoning classification in conjunction with potential outcomes. The intent of the C-3, Commercial Services District, is to provide for higher intensity land uses that are not compatible with other areas of the Town. The C-3 District accommodates utilities, light industrial uses, warehousing, bulk storage, dog agility, etc. It is in close proximity to the Fresh Pond, actually within that buffer area for the Fresh Pond.

The intent of the C-2, General Commercial District, is to provide for the proper grouping and development of commercial facilities to serve the entire community. The C-2 District allows the broadest range of commercial uses. All C-2 districts shall be at least 5 acres in area and proposed zoning map amendment would result in an increase in the total acre of C-2 designation.

The 2017 Comprehensive Land Use Plan states that the C-3 standards are to regulate and buffer uses so that their location or activities will not be detrimental to adjacent uses, the environment, and the sources of potable water. The Commercial Services District must be at least 10 acres in size and must have direct access to a US highway or collector street improved to town standards. If adopted, the requested rezoning would reduce the overall acreage of C-3 by 0.8 acres, leaving approximately 36 acres of C-3 Commercial Services Remaining.

Ms. Wyatt noted that she had included a link to the table of uses and activities allowed within the existing C-3 and C-2 Zoning Districts, as well as some Land Use Plan considerations in her Staff Report.

Ms. Wyatt stated that based upon the evaluation of the intent of each district and the goals listed in the 2017 Comprehensive Land Use Plan, staff would recommend adoption of the proposed zoning map amendment as presented noting that any future development of this property would require Site Plan Review and approval from both the Planning Board and Board of Commissioners.

Ms. Wyatt stated that she, as well as the applicant Chris Greening, was available to answer any questions for the Board.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed for Mr. Ferguson that the Fresh Pond was still in the AEC noting that there are certain requirements that must be met within 500 ft and 1200 ft. A portion of the property in question is within the 1200 ft., which might affect septic capacity and would be looked at during site plan review.

Ms. Wyatt pulled up an aerial view to the C-3 zoning for the Board's consideration, noting that the parcel where the Town's water plant is located was recently rezoned into the C-3 district.

Mr. Gwinn inquired as to what the parcel would be used for. Applicant Chris Greening stepped to the podium and explained that part of would be used for workforce housing and some for retail. Mr. Greening noted that the proximity of the parcel to his business as well as being slightly off the main road make it an ideal location for workforce housing. Mr. Greening confirmed that the residential would be accessory to the retail use which is currently allowed in the C2.

Meade Gwinn moved to approve the rezoning request as presented. David Thompson seconded and the motion was approved unanimously

Consideration Of Various Amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance as it pertains to the use of multi-family dwelling developments.

Town Manager Andy Garman explained that at last month's meeting, Staff discussed the draft multi-family housing ordinance with the Planning Board.

The Planning Board mainly discussed two provisions of the draft ordinance. This included the parking standard and a provision that would limit the density of projects based on bedrooms per acre. The Planning Board requested that the parking standard be modified to 2.5 spaces per unit. The draft ordinance has been revised to include this proposed parking standard. It also includes a range of standards regulating bedrooms per acre.

Mr. Garman noted that the Planning Board also requested that staff propose a bedrooms per acre standard of between 25 to 30 bedrooms per acre. For discussion purposes, Staff has provided an analysis which compares the results of including an additional standard regulating bedrooms per acre at two different ranges vs. only regulating density using a floor area ratio. Mr. Garman noted that, a bedrooms per acre, standard would provide an additional regulation of density above and beyond just the floor area ratio. If the Planning Board is concerned about being able to control not just the mass of the building but the number of persons that may potentially be on the property, then the bedrooms per acre would be a thing to consider adding into the ordinance. Mr. Garman also reminded the Board that there is a proposed cap of 75 units in the Working Group's Ordinance so in most of the sample cases that provides a sort of artificial limit on the number of units you can have.

5 Acres

- 217,800 square feet x 0.32 = 69,696.
- Let's say 60% of the units are going to be 2 bedrooms with min. unit size of 700 sf = area of 41,817 = 59 units (118 bedrooms).
- Remaining 27,878 sf to be 1 bedroom at 500 sf = 55 units (55 bedrooms).
- This equates to 114 units and 173 bedrooms, however the Working Group proposed cap at 75 units would apply.
- A maximum of 25 bedrooms per acre would allow 125 bedrooms.
- A maximum of 30 bedrooms per acre would allow 150 bedrooms.

4 Acres

- 174,240 square feet x 0.32 = 55,756.
- Let's say 60% of the units are going to be 2 bedrooms with min. unit size of 700 sf = area of 33,454 = 47 units (94 bedrooms).
- Remaining 22,302 sf to be 1 bedroom at 500 sf = 44 units (44 bedrooms).
- This equates to 91 units and 138 bedrooms, however the Working Group cap of 75 units would apply.
- A maximum of 25 bedrooms per acre would allow 100 bedrooms.
- A maximum of 30 bedrooms per acre would allow 120 bedrooms.

3 Acres

- 130,680 square feet x 0.32 = 41,817.
- Let's say 60% of the units are going to be 2 bedrooms with min unit size of 700 sf = area of 25,090 = 35 units (70 bedrooms)..
- Remaining 16,727 sf to be 1 bedroom at 500 sf = 33 units (33 bedrooms)
- This equates to 68 units and 103 bedrooms. Would comply with the Working Group recommended cap of 75. Would not comply with the Planning Board recommended cap of 60 units.
- A maximum of 25 bedrooms per acre would allow 75 bedrooms.
- A maximum of 30 bedrooms per acre would allow 90 bedrooms.

2 Acres

- 87,120 square feet x 0.32 = 27,878.
- Let's say 60% of the units are going to be 2 bedrooms with minimum unit size of 700 sf = area of 16,727 = 23 units (46 bedrooms).
- Remaining 11,151 sf to be 1 bedroom at 500 sf = 22 units (22 bedrooms).
- This equates to 45 units and 68 bedrooms. Would comply with the Working Group and Planning Board cap on units.
- A maximum of 25 bedrooms per acre would allow 50 bedrooms.
- A maximum of 30 bedrooms per acre would allow 60 bedrooms.

Mr. Garman confirmed for Mr. Gwinn and the Board that the floor area ratio controls the size of the building whereas a maximum number of bedrooms per acre controls density. Mr. Garman then presented a plan view sketch developed by Staff, which showed a conceptual site plan on a 5.5-acre parcel based on the draft ordinance. The sketch included five separate buildings totaling 9,900 sq. ft. each, and 188 parking spaces. Mr. Garman noted that this sketch includes the 2.5 parking spaces per unit and was intended to assist the Planning Board with understanding how the ordinance would regulate density of a hypothetical multi-family project.

Mr. Garman explained that you want to have enough parking for all occupants but when you add additional parking you also add additional lot coverage, in this case it amounts to 6000 ft of lot coverage by having the 2.5 spaces per units vs. the other standard so it's something that should be considered. In this scenario, the less restrictive parking standard would likely result in more open space as they would have already maxed out the number of units.

The Board was concerned about building mass, but also the number of people on the property (density) and were in favor of the bedrooms per acre requirement. Mr. Thompson reminded the Board that if some of it is going to be workforce housing there was a big chance that even the one-bedroom apartments would be shared by multiple people.

Mr. Gwinn asked if it was possible to limit the number of multi-family dwellings in town. Mr. Garman stated that he had asked the Town Attorney but did not have an answer yet but reminded the Board that there are already several multi-family dwelling developments in town.

Deputy Planning Director Joe Costello gave a quick presentation showing parcels in the C2 zone. There are 840 unique parcels and there are 207 parcels that are greater than 15,000 SF that could fit a small multi-family dwelling development. 93% of the 840 are developed so any multi-family would more than likely be redevelopment.

Mr. Costello also noted that there are 145 parcels adjacent to US 158, 91 of which are greater than 26,000 SF which could be large multi-family but again most are developed so most would be redevelopment.

The Board discussed and agreed that they wanted to limit the maximum number of bedrooms per acre to 25. The Board were also in agreement that they were in support of the small multi-family development but wanted to include 25 bedroom per acre limitation as well as the parking standard of 2.5 parking spaces per unit plus 1 space per 4 units.

Mr. Garman confirmed for Mr. Ferguson that the restrictions placed by the Flood Ordinance would not be much of a limiting factor when it comes to construction of multi-family development.

As far as large multi-family development, the Board was in consensus that they were ok if it didn't front the bypass. Chair Vaughan stated and the Board agreed that they didn't like the apartment style building for the large family dwelling development, they prefer townhouse style development. The Board was in agreement that they do not want to see a large number of apartments all over town and would like to see the number of developments limited. The Board also would like to see the 25 bedroom per acre limitation as well as the parking standard of 2.5 parking spaces per unit plus 1 space per 4 units.

Meade Gwinn moved to recommend the Small Style Multi-Family Development Amendment as proposed by the Working Group with the following changes:

- Include the maximum 25 bedrooms per acre standard as well as the Parking Standard of 2.5 spaces per unit plus one space for every 4 units.

Mr. Gwinn also moved to recommend the Large Style Multi-Family Development amendment as proposed by the Working group with the following changes:

- Allow townhouse style only, include the 25 bedrooms per acre limitation and the Parking Standard of 2.5 spaces per unit plus one space for every 4 units.

Kristi Wright seconded the motion, and the motion passed with a vote of 5 to 1 with Molly Harrison casting the Nay vote.

Ms. Harrison would like to explore further the idea of putting a limitation on how many could be developed and the Board agreed to recommend that the Board of Commissioners look at a limitation on the number of developments that can be built in Town.

Report on Board of Commissioners Actions –

Ms. Wyatt gave an update on the Board of Commissioner Actions, of note: Several items on Consent Agenda including Requests for Public Hearings for text amendments to the UDO on the use of multi-family dwelling developments, text amendments to the UDO for "Religious Complex" definition modification and text amendments to the UDO re: SED-80 dormitory use. The Board approved \$400K Sand Relocation and Dune Management Cost Share Program which is a continuation of year three of a three-year program. Environmental Planner Conner Twiddy and Dep Planning Director Joe Costello reviewed with Board members a power point presentation summarizing the Septic Health Initiative Program and the long-range data collection and mapping efforts which was very well received. Comr. Lambert expressed her concern re: parking requirements vs parking needs at hotels. It was Board consensus to direct staff to look at hotel parking standards with consideration of existing properties and consultation with the industry and include restaurants in the review.

Town Updates

None

Discussion Items

Discussion and Possible Amendment of minimum required parking standards for hotel use and restaurant use.

Ms. Wyatt introduced a discussion on the parking standards for hotels and restaurants, emphasizing that no immediate recommendations were expected. The purpose of the discussion was to begin a conversation about potential changes to parking requirements, particularly in light of recent concerns following the approval of the hotel on Lakeside.

Ms. Wyatt gave a brief background:

- The Board of Commissioners requested a review of current parking standards for hotels.
- The current standard is one parking space per hotel unit, with additional spaces required for units with kitchens, conference rooms, restaurants, etc.
- Historically, parking standards were slightly stricter:
 - Just before the adoption of the UDO, the standard was 1.2 spaces per unit plus one space for every four employees.
 - In August 1989, the standard was 1.2 spaces per unit or one space per bedroom, whichever was greater, plus one space per employee on the largest shift.

Ms. Wyatt then discussed the relevance, comparing the historical standards to the current requirements and noted that the approved Inn at Whalebone would have required 105 parking spaces under the older standards for its 87 units.

Ms. Wyatt then reviewed an attachment (included in the Meeting Packet) which provided a comparison of hotel parking standards in other coastal communities:

- **Kill Devil Hills:** 1.2 spaces per unit plus one per employee.
- **Kitty Hawk:** 1.5 spaces per rented room plus one per employee.
- **Southern Shores:** 1.5 spaces per rented room plus one per employee.
- **Duck:** 1.5 spaces per rented room plus one for every three employees.
- **Sunset Beach, Wrightsville Beach, Carolina Beach, Emerald Isle, Oak Island, Atlantic Beach:** These were mentioned as additional points of interest for comparison.

Ms. Wyatt found the historical and comparative data on hotel parking standards interesting and suggested that addressing potential changes to hotel parking might be a more straightforward discussion compared to restaurant parking, which could require more in-depth conversations.

Mr. Gwinn asked whether there had been any discussions with counterparts in other towns (KDH, Kitty Hawk, Duck) to understand if their parking standards are working effectively or if they face any challenges. He inquired if feedback on the adequacy of these ordinances had been gathered.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed that she had not yet had those conversations but would do so for the next meeting.

Mr. Thompson shared his personal experience as a sales professional frequently pulling over into hotel parking lots, noting that even in summer, there are often empty spaces during the day. He observed that hotel parking lots are generally full only from 9:00 PM to 7:00 AM during peak season (June-August), and occupancy is lower during the other nine months of the year.

Chair Vaughan agreed, adding that it is probably uncommon for there to be more than one vehicle per hotel room, especially compared to year-round living or workforce housing. However, she emphasized the importance of considering employee parking, which might be underestimated.

Chair Vaughan also noted that most people are at work during the day, leaving parking spaces available, but it seemed that other towns generally have more parking for hotels than Nags Head.

Ms. Wyatt mentioned that the town used to have a standard of 1.2 parking spaces per hotel unit before reducing it to one, while other towns still have standards of 1.2 or 1.5, often with additional requirements for employee parking.

Chair Vaughan pointed out that it's challenging to meet parking demand when additional facilities like kitchens or meeting spaces are present, as these can create unusual levels of demand, especially during events or conferences.

Mr. Thompson asked about the definition of a hotel efficiency unit or kitchen facility, leading to a discussion on the specific criteria for hotel units, efficiency units, and suites.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed for Mr. Thompson that the parking standard does take into account if there is a restaurant associated with the hotel.

Ms. Wyatt concluded by stating that she would gather feedback from other local municipalities on their standards and return in September with more information, potentially leading to a proposed ordinance.

Moving on to restaurant parking, Ms. Wyatt noted that there are several aspects of restaurant parking that will require more detailed review and discussion, these include but are not limited to:

- The current parking standard has been applied to sit down restaurants for well over 10 years, any changes to the standard could result in site nonconformities for existing restaurants.
- Regulating restaurant parking by customer service area can become problematic if that area changes unbeknownst to staff. If a restaurant changes hands, the new tenant may wish to increase the amount of customer service area, thus making the existing parking count inadequate. In this scenario, a parking standard based upon gross floor area may be more appropriate. What are the pros and cons of regulating based upon gross floor area? Kill Devil Hills, Sunset Beach, Carolina Beach, Oak Island, and Atlantic Beach regulate restaurant parking based upon gross floor area. Southern Shores and Duck regulates restaurant parking based upon customer seats. Wrightsville Beach regulates restaurant parking based upon the maximum occupancy allowed.
- The existing definition of "customer service area" states that it is the area designated for the purchase and/or consumption of food, drink, or other similar items. The definition further goes on to exclude outdoor seating areas not designated for the purchase of food, drink, or similar items and instead are used primarily as waiting areas for customers who are waiting to be seated in indoor customer service areas. Staff submits that these outdoor areas, while initially were primarily used for waiting, gradually transition into areas which may very well need to be included

in a parking standard (drinks served while waiting, in some instances appetizers being provided while "waiting", etc.).

- Should the town address outdoor entertainment areas associated with restaurants in the parking requirements? Should the town address outdoor entertainment areas generally?
- Should we include a parking requirement for employees/staff? Note that the Town of Duck, Southern Shores, and Wrightsville Beach regulate employee parking.

Chair Vaughan said that they need to look at parking differently, trying to encompass these other areas where people gather as they still have to park somewhere.

Mr. Gwinn believes that outdoor seating should be parked like indoor seating if customers are being served outdoors.

Mr. Thompson noted that something else to consider is that often times people drive separately and meet at a restaurant to eat so there are multiple vehicles per table.

Chair Vaughan stated that they have to strike a balance, because the town wants and needs restaurants, but they need to require enough parking to meet that peak demand so that it's safe and not overflowing into neighboring properties; so many restaurants are maxed out on parking during the season.

Ms. Wright noted that this is changing and more restaurants are busy year round. The Board agreed that coming up with an improved parking standard will be a challenge.

Discussion of potential Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) ordinance and existing conditions within the Town.

Ms. Wyatt stated that there has been a lot of discussion about ADUs and reminded the Board that Staff delivered a presentation to both the Board of Commissioners and the Planning Board at their meetings on July 3rd and July 16th, respectively. The presentation emphasized the similarities and interconnectedness among Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), Partial-Home Short-Term Rentals (STRs), and Duplexes.

Staff believe that recognizing these overlaps with existing uses in the town is a critical first step as they begin to explore options for allowing ADUs within the Town noting that many of the existing partial-home STRs share similar characteristics and functions with ADUs. Both types of housing provide additional living spaces that can be rented as separate, independent units with similar operational dynamics. Additionally, both partial-home STRs and, if permitted, attached ADUs, would resemble duplexes in terms of structure and use.

Currently, Short-Term Rentals are permitted in all zoning districts within the Town, except for the C-3, Commercial Services District. However, Accessory Dwelling Units are not allowed in any district. Duplexes, or two-family dwellings, are permitted in the R-2, R-3, CR, C-1, C-2, C-4, and C-5 Districts, with a minimum lot size of 22,500 square feet (30,000 square feet in the R-2 district).

Recognizing that many residents may not be familiar with the current codes and ordinances governing these uses, Staff would recommend that the Planning Board consider dedicating time at their upcoming September 17th meeting for an overview of these uses and their associated regulations. This session would allow for a discussion on areas of overlap and any aspects requiring

further clarification, while also providing an opportunity for citizens to offer their input on potential changes to existing language or proposed future language. Given the range of opinions within the community, particularly regarding ADUs and concerns about density, staff want to seek input from both supporters and those with reservations. Ms. Wyatt also asked that if there are specific groups, organizations, or individuals that the Planning Board believes should be personally invited to participate to please share that information with staff.

The Board was in consensus that they would like to have public input and were not opposed to having an evening workshop. Ms. Wyatt will send out a poll with some times and dates for the Board to choose.

July 30th, 2024, Director's Report

Ms. Wyatt briefly discussed her Director's Report with the Board which included various activities involving staff. Ms. Wyatt reminded the Board that one of the Farmer's Markets that was cancelled due to weather has been rescheduled to another date in the afternoon/evening.

Planning Board Members' Agenda

None

Planning Board Chairman's Agenda

None

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn was made by Molly Harrison. The time was 11:03 AM.

Respectfully submitted,
Lily Campos Nieberding



MEMORANDUM

Town of Nags Head

Planning & Development Department

To: Planning Board

From: Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director
Joseph Costello, Deputy Planning Director

Date: September 13, 2024

Subject: Public Input Session re: Potential Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) ordinance

In November 2023, during their Strategic Planning retreat, the Board of Commissioners directed the Planning staff to explore the development of an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) ordinance that incorporates a range of optional provisions and regulatory standards. Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) are smaller, secondary housing units located on the same property as a primary residence. ADUs can include detached units, garage conversions, or in-home apartments. ADUs were discussed as one potential solution to provide workforce housing within the Town. This initiative is identified as Action Item #2.2 under the Development Goals within the Strategic Plan. The range of options for consideration includes an analysis of where ADUs might be appropriate (i.e. permitted zoning districts, geographic areas, neighborhoods, etc.), minimum lot size requirements, maximum unit size limitations, height restrictions, deed restrictions, and parking considerations.

The Planning Department had previously researched and presented a draft ADU ordinance to the Board of Commissioners in September 2019, though it was not adopted at that time.

To advance discussions on a potential ADU ordinance, staff presented this item to the Board of Commissioners on July 3, 2024, highlighting the similarities and interconnections between ADUs, partial-home short-term rentals, and duplexes. This same presentation was also shared with the Planning Board on July 16, 2024, and can be viewed [HERE](#) (at approximately the 1 hr. 19 min mark).

Staff would like to emphasize that many of the existing partial-home short-term rentals within the town share similar characteristics and functions with accessory dwelling units. Both provide additional living spaces that can be rented as separate, independent units, with comparable operational features. Additionally, many of these existing partial-home short-term rentals, along with attached ADUs, if permitted, would resemble duplexes in terms of structure and use.

In order to better understand the potential impact of an ADU ordinance, staff believes it is critical to openly discuss and clarify what is currently allowed within the town, the definitions and regulations governing these uses, and the overlap between these uses. Ensuring regulatory consistency is key.

At their August 20, 2024 meeting, the Planning Board emphasized the importance of community awareness and engagement on this issue. As a result, two public input sessions were scheduled: Tuesday, September 17th, at 9:00 AM, in the Board Room at 5401 S. Croatan Highway, and a second session on Wednesday, September 18th, from 6:00 PM to 7:30 PM, to accommodate those unable to attend the morning meeting.

Staff will begin each session with a brief informational presentation, followed by an open forum to hear feedback from attendees.

Public Input Session – Discussion Points

- What are Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU's)

Accessory Dwelling Units are smaller, secondary housing units located on the same property as a primary residence. ADU's can include detached units, garage conversions, or in-home apartments.

- Why ADU's?

Accessory Dwelling Units may be able to help address local housing needs, providing more affordable rental options or housing for family members.

Accessory Dwelling Units can offer a way for older family members to live independently and age in place.

Accessory Dwelling Units can allow homeowners to generate rental income, which can help with mortgage payments or property upkeep.

Accessory Dwelling Units typically use less land and resources as compared to a new development.

- What are some PRO's of ADU's?

ADU's can create more affordable housing options.

ADU's offer flexibility for multi-generational living or accommodating family members, caregivers, or tenants.

ADU's can help house local workers who may otherwise struggle to afford housing in the area.

ADU's can encourage long-term rentals over short-term options, supporting housing stability.

- What are some CON's or CONCERNS of ADU's?

Some residents might be concerned about increased traffic, inadequate parking, or noise – especially in quiet areas.

There may be worries about enforcing regulations, such as ensuring ADU's are not turned into unregulated short-term rentals.

There may be concerns about local infrastructure, such as water, septic capacity, and handling increased housing density.

Some homeowners may be concerned about the proximity of ADU's to their own property and potential impacts on privacy.

- Goals for Allowing Accessory Dwelling Units

The primary goal is to increase the housing supply of workforce housing.

- Location of ADU's?

If the Town were to allow Accessory Dwelling Units, are there locations within the Town that you believe are more suitable than others? Specific zoning districts, geographical areas of the Town?



MEMORANDUM

Town of Nags Head

Planning & Development Department

To: Planning Board

From: Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director
Joe Costello, Deputy Planning Director

Date: September 13, 2024

Subject: Special Use Permit/Site Plan Review, 425 W. Health Center Drive

GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant: Michael Strader, P.E. of Quible & Associates, PC & Chis Nason, AIA of Beacon Architecture and Design on behalf of the Town of Nags Head.

Application Type: Special Use Permit/Site Plan Review.

Purpose/Request: Construction of an approximately 3,460 square feet, two story, eight-bedroom dormitory (duplex) and all associated site improvements. This dormitory is for use by Town of Nags Head workforce or as approved by the Town Manager.

Property Location: 425 W. Health Center Drive, Nags Head.

Existing Land Use: Medical Offices, Community Care Clinic.

Zoning Classification of Property: SED-80, Special Environmental District.

Zoning Classification of Surrounding Properties: Properties to the north, west, south, and east are zoned SED-80, Special Environmental District (Peak Resources and vacant/Nature Conservancy).

Flood Hazard Zone of Property: Property is located in an X Flood Zone, per the Town of Nags Head local ordinance, the property is subject to an RFPE/LES of 9 ft. The proposed first floor of habitable space will be elevated well above the 9-foot RFPE as existing topographic information shows an elevation greater than 20 ft. msl in the area of the proposed structure.

Land Use Plan Map/Policies: The 2022 Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use Map classifies this property as Institutional/Community Services. This proposal is consistent with this land use classification and stated Land Use Policies.

SPECIFIC INFORMATION

Applicable Zoning Regulations:

- Use Regulations: Pursuant to the text amendment adopted by the Board of Commissioners at their September 4, 2024, meeting, "Dormitory" is a Special Use within the SED-80, Special Environmental District, with supplemental regulations set forth Section 7.10 of the UDO. These supplemental regulations are as follows:

Dormitory is permitted in accordance with Section 6.6, Table of Uses and Activities, provided that the following additional requirements and conditions are met:

7.10.1. A minimum lot area of 25,000 square feet and a minimum lot width of 100 feet shall be required. *Staff comment: This property is 123,600 in area with a lot width greater than 250 feet.*

7.10.2. Sleeping rooms shall have a minimum floor area of seventy (70) square feet for the first occupant and a minimum floor area of fifty (50) square feet for each additional occupant. *Staff comment: Each floor contains 4 bedrooms with an expected occupancy of 8, a total of 8 bedrooms with an expected occupancy of 16. The proposed sleeping rooms will accommodate two occupants. Each sleeping room is approximately 170 square feet in area. As proposed, this regulation has been met.*

7.10.3. At least one restroom in the facility shall have a minimum of two (2) water closets, two (2) sinks, and two (2) showers. In all cases, reference the North Carolina Plumbing Code for required number of dormitory bathroom fixtures. *Staff comment: Each dwelling unit contains two full bathrooms for a total of 2 toilets, 2 sinks, and 2 showers. As proposed, this regulation has been met.*

7.10.4. A minimum floor area of twenty (20) square feet per occupant is required for adequate common living areas (including kitchen and dining) but not less than 220 square feet per unit or floor. Circulation spaces less than six (6) feet wide shall not be counted as common living areas. *Staff comment: An area greater than 500 square feet has been provided in each dwelling unit. As proposed, this regulation has been met.*

7.10.5. No dormitory facility shall house more than twenty-five (25) occupants. *Staff comment: As proposed, this two-story dormitory/duplex would house no more than 16 occupants at any time.*

- Lot Coverage: Pursuant to Section 8.4.3.3.6.1 of the UDO, Special Development Standards within the SED-80 (Special Environmental District) the maximum allowable lot coverage for this property is 20% of the total lot area. While the exact lot area remains uncertain, using the most conservative estimate, the current site conditions result in approximately 28.6% lot coverage.

Upon researching the history of how this property was developed to such an extent, it was discovered that this area was previously zoned as SPD-40 (Special Planned Development), where the maximum allowable lot coverage was 30%. This zoning designation and the associated dimensional standards date back to the 1982 Official Zoning Map and the Town of Nags Head Zoning Ordinance from 1977, with amendments through February 1983 (attached). It is important to note that the structure on this property was built in 1975.

The increase in lot coverage beyond the current allowable limit constitutes a site nonconformity. According to Section 5.4 of the UDO, nonconforming site conditions may continue but cannot be increased. Therefore, the site is considered legally nonconforming at 28.6% lot coverage. While lot coverage can be removed, replaced, or relocated, it cannot exceed the 28.6% threshold.

The proposed redevelopment of the dormitory, including the removal of the non-functional helipad and various other improvements, conceptually shows a lot coverage of 29.03%, slightly above the allowable 28.6%. However, we are awaiting an opinion from our town attorney regarding the correct boundary of the property. It is likely that once the property boundaries are confirmed, the total lot area will increase, which would reduce the lot coverage percentage to 28.6% or less. Please note the discrepancy between the property boundaries shown in Dare County records versus those on the survey (attached). This conflict will be resolved before the Board of Commissioners reviews the project, and the property will not be deemed compliant until the lot coverage is confirmed to be 28.6% or less of the total lot area

- Height: The maximum allowable building height within the Town is 35 feet; however, pursuant to Section 8.2.1, Dimensional requirements, total height may be increased to 42 feet with the use of an 8:12 roof pitch or greater. The applicant has proposed a structure with an overall height of approximately 32 feet measured from grade, while also proposing an 8:12 roof pitch therefore height is compliant.
- Architecture Design Standards: Section 10.82 of the UDO, Applicability, states that Commercial Design Standards shall apply to all building construction or remodeling projects requiring a special use permit or site plan review. This project must adhere to the Commercial Design Standards set forth within Part VI of the UDO. As proposed this project will comply with standards set forth in Division II – Building Design including a human scale design with a second-floor covered porch, residential style single or double hung windows, board and batten siding, gable brackets, etc. We have requested that the architect incorporate a few other elements such as workable shutters and column trim.
- Parking: Pursuant to Article 10, Table 10-2, *Required Parking by Use*, dormitory uses are required to provide one parking space for every four occupants. For this structure, which will have a maximum occupancy of 16, a total of four (4) parking spaces are required. However, considering that this structure is intended to house the Town of Nags Head workforce, including seasonal lifeguards, it is

understood that actual parking needs will likely exceed the minimum requirement.

Currently, there are 37 existing parking spaces onsite. Based on a liberal application of the medical office parking standard to the Community Care Clinic, which is also located onsite, we estimate that approximately 25 parking spaces are necessary for the clinic. This leaves 12 parking spaces available for dormitory use, providing parking for three-quarters of the dormitory occupants. Ideally, 16 spaces—one per occupant—would be available for this use.

Section 10.15.2.2 provides a mechanism, through the Special Use Permit process, to consider shared parking arrangements when multiple uses on the site have staggered hours or differing peak parking demands (see below). Under this provision, no more than 50% of the required parking spaces for the proposed use can be shared with existing and conforming parking spaces for other uses.

Staff is requesting that the Planning Board consider applying this shared parking provision to address the four (4) additional parking spaces needed to meet the desired total of 16 spaces for the dormitory. The Community Care Clinic operates between 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM, Monday through Friday, and is closed on weekends. In contrast, the Town's ocean rescue staff typically works from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with some early morning training sessions. Given these staggered hours, there should be minimal overlap in parking demand between the clinic and the ocean rescue staff.

10.15.2.2. Shared Parking Associated with a Special Use Plan or Plan Amendment.

Proposed commercial uses in all commercial zoning districts may share a portion of existing and conforming parking spaces with other commercial uses in commercial zoning districts provided that the following conditions are met:

10.15.2.2.1. *The uses should have staggered or different business hours and parking demands, such as an office building open between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. and a restaurant open between 5:30 p.m. and 11:00 p.m., or a business open during the week and a church where parking demand peaks on the weekend;*

10.15.2.2.2. *No more than fifty (50) percent of required parking spaces for the proposed use may be shared with existing and conforming parking spaces of the other commercial use;*

10.15.2.2.3. *Commercial uses must be abutting and integrated with unrestricted traffic and parking flow between them or may be across a Town street or private easement or alley within the same block;*

10.15.2.2.4. *When shared parking is devoted to a use other than parking, or business hours of one or more of the uses change to preclude the shared use of the parking spaces, or one or more*

uses change, increasing the amount of parking spaces required, or other changes in use or parking configuration occur, the special use permits for both sites must be amended appropriately; and

10.15.2.2.5. *For proposed commercial uses that redevelop existing commercial sites abutting the NC 12 Beach Road corridor from East Gulfstream to Eighth Street, an alternative parking plan may be proposed that provides a ten (10) percent reduction in required parking and may be approved with or without a shared parking arrangement at the discretion of the Board of Commissioners as part of a special use plan.*

- Buffering/Landscaping: Several sections of the Unified Development Ordinance speak to Buffering and Landscaping, however, due to the design and location of this proposed structure and the use of the existing parking lot, they would not be applicable.
- Special Land Disturbance Considerations in the SED-80: Within the SED-80 (Special Environmental District), the removal of any tree with a diameter at breast height (DBH) greater than four (4) inches is prohibited, except in specific areas. These exceptions include trees within the principal building site and a 20-foot perimeter around it, within required accessways, parking lots, driveways, utility installations and a 5-foot perimeter around those areas, and within septic tank drain fields and the surrounding area as determined by the Dare County Health Department to ensure proper septic function. Other exemptions apply to trees that are at risk of falling, as evidenced by cracking, splitting, leaning, or physical damage, as well as trees that pose a threat to public facilities or services, or are in irreversible decline due to disease, or are no longer living. If an applicant wishes to remove a tree larger than four (4) inches DBH outside of these exempted areas, approval must be obtained from the Board of Commissioners.

At this time, no trees are proposed for removal that fall outside of the exempted areas. However, if a tree is later identified for removal that does not meet the exemption criteria, we will bring the request to the Planning Board and Board of Commissioners for approval.

- Lighting: At this time, no additional parking lot lighting is needed or proposed. The dormitory will feature standard residential lighting.
- Signage: No additional signage is proposed.

Water and Sewage Disposal: The Dare County Health Department is currently reviewing the proposed sewage design. This authorization will be required prior to review by the Board of Commissioners.

Traffic Circulation: No changes are proposed to the existing parking lot design or traffic circulation patterns.

Stormwater Management: Section 11.4.1 of the Unified Development Ordinance states, Redevelopment of property within existing commercial uses does not require submission of a stormwater plan under the following circumstances:

- 11.4.1.1. The development is consistent with the zoning regulations of this UDO relating to redevelopment and nonconformities;
- 11.4.1.2. The redevelopment does not result in a net gain of built upon area;
- 11.4.1.3. The redevelopment does not include the importation of any fill material.

Stormwater management is not required for this project, provided that lot coverage remains at 28.6%, with no net increase in built-upon area and no importation of fill material. However, if there is any increase in lot coverage or the addition of fill material, the Town Engineer will require stormwater management to accommodate a 4.3-inch rainfall event for the net increase in built-upon area

Fire: The project will be required to comply with all applicable NC Fire Prevention Code requirements as part of building permit application review and issuance.

Public Works: The Public Works Director has reviewed and approved the proposed site plan as presented.

ANALYSIS

Planning staff finds that the proposal is consistent with the applicable use and development standards, as well as relevant land use policies.

Additionally, staff finds that the requirements necessary to offer a reduction in parking pursuant to Section 10.15.2.2. of the UDO, Shared Parking Associated with a Special use Plan, has been met given that it will not result in increased traffic congestion or negatively impact existing traffic flow or pedestrian and vehicular safety, will not create parking impacts for adjacent properties or within Town rights-of-way, will not be contrary to the objectives specified in the Comprehensive Plan, is necessary to permit the reasonable use of the subject property, and will not adversely impact adjacent property or the surrounding area.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based upon staff's review of the proposal, we recommend approval of the Special Use Permit/Site Plan Review as submitted conditioned upon the proposed lot coverage not exceeding 28.6%.

Attachments: SUP/Site Plan Application and Checklist and Plan Set, Excerpt of 1982 Official Zoning Map, Previous Zoning Regulations, and Dare County Parcel Card.



TOTAL PROPOSED SQUARE FOOTAGE _____ x .50 = \$ _____ +

SPECIAL USE ☐ (\$500.00)

(Optional) VESTED RIGHT ☐ (no fee)

= TOTAL FEE DUE _____

TOWN OF NAGS HEAD

DATE RECEIVED _____

SITE PLAN REVIEW APPLICATION & CHECKLIST FOR SPECIAL USES

1. LOCATION AND ZONING INFORMATION

- A. PROJECT TITLE Ocean Rescue Housing
- B. STREET ADDRESS 425 W. Health Center Drive
- C. SUBDIVISION None
- LOT(S) N/A BLOCK N/A SECTION N/a
- D. PRESENT ZONING Special Environmental District SED-80
- E. PRESENT USE Government Owned Medical Office/Clinic
- F. EXISTING NONCONFORMITIES Lot coverage
- G. ABUTTING PROPERTY ZONING R-2 Medium Density Residential/SED-80
- H. ABUTTING PROPERTY USE Nursing Home/Nature Preserve/Single-Family Residential

2. CERTIFICATION AND STANDING

- A. As applicant of standing of the above-named project, I certify that the information on this checklist and the site plan is complete and accurate.

Town of Nags Head

OWNER ☒ AGENT ☐ CONTRACT ☐
PURCHASER ☐

- B. APPLICANT/DEVELOPER: NAME Town of Nags Head
- ADDRESS PO Box 99
- Nags Head, NC 27959
- TELEPHONE 252-619-0437
- C. CONTACTPERSON: NAME Andrew Garman
- ADDRESS Same as above
- TELEPHONE Same as above

3. ADJACENT OWNERSHIP INFORMATION (TO BE SHOWN ON SITE PLAN)

- NAME/ADDRESS (N) Peak Realty Nags Head LLC, 101 Baines Court, Cary, NC 27511
- NAME/ADDRESS (S) The Nature Conservancy 334 Blackwell Street, Suite 300 Durham, NC 27701/ Town Nags Head
- NAME/ADDRESS (E) Town of Nags Head
- NAME/ADDRESS (W) The Nature Conservancy 334 Blackwell Street, Suite 300 Durham, NC 27701/ Town Nags Head
- (If additional space is needed, please attach separate sheets.)

4. SITE PLAN AND SITE PLAN ATTACHMENT DATA

A. Site plan preparer Cathleen Saunders, PE Phone# 252.202.7112
NC Registered ☒ Engineer ☐ Architect ☐ Surveyor. License# 043652

B. The design for the attached Stormwater Management Plan includes:

- ☐ 1.5", 2-hour rainfall: retained on-site.
☒ 4.3", 2-hour rainfall: no important access or health-related impacts.
☐ 5.0", 2-hour rainfall: no unapproved impacts.

Drainage calculations have been prepared ☒ YES ☐ NO ATTACHED ☐ YES ☐ NO

Note: Stormwater Management Plan MUST be approved by the Town Engineer prior to Planning Board review for all listed permitted uses in the zoning ordinance.

C. Sewage disposal approval is being submitted in the form of:

- ☐ Attached tentative approval letter dated _____
☒ Attached final permit dated _____
☐ State ☒ County

D. Project involves condominium ownership.

- ☒ NO ☐ YES, Three copies of condominium documents attached.

E. Amount of land-disturbing activity proposed 23,145 square feet.

A Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan has been prepared.

- ☐ NO ☒ YES; (1) Copy attached,
(2) Copy submitted to Dare County Soil Conservation Service, Manteo NC 27954.

F. Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) permit. ☐ YES ☒ NO

5. INFORMATION TO BE SHOWN ON SITE PLAN

Twelve (12) copies for Planning Board review

A. Property and ownership	YES	COMMENTS
1. Present recorded owner and map book/cabinet reference of the site property.	YES	
2. Current PIN Number.	YES	
3. Site Address.	YES	
4. Owners' names, lot numbers or map book and page reference of all adjacent property owners.	YES	
5. Boundary of the entire parcel by course and distance.	YES	
6. Widths of the existing rights-of-way that abut the site.	YES	
7. Nature or purpose, location and size of existing easements.	YES	
8. At all lot comers, points of tangents and any angle point along a given course of the site, iron pins minimum 3/8-inch diameter or 4x4-inch concrete monuments.	YES	
9. Plan to at least 1"=50' scale, showing north arrow and whether true or magnetic.	YES	
10. Signature and seal of preparer.	YES	
B. Existing features		
1. Streets, curbs, and sidewalks with type and width of pavement.	YES	
2. Topographic features of site and existing grades for any streets, storm drainage system including existing grades at four comers of all structures.	YES	

	YES	COMMENT
3. Flood zone(s) as determined by latest FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, with notation, "flood zone subject to change by FEMA."	YES	
4. All underground utilities and facilities including gasoline tanks and existing septic facilities (including tanks and fields).	YES	
5. If the lot is within an ocean hazard Area of Environmental Concern, the location of the first line of stable natural vegetation, the CAMA setback line, and contour lines at 2-foot intervals depicting any dunes located within an oceanfront AEC that are to be disturbed by construction.	N/A	
6. The location of any marsh areas or estuarine waters or US Army Corps of Engineers 404 wetlands within or abutting the lot.	N/A	
7. If the lot is within the small surface water supply watershed AEC (within 1,200 feet from the Fresh Water Pond), the distance between the pond and proposed septic or sewage treatment system.	N/A	
8. Percentage of site to be included in calculation for undisturbed vegetation preservation compliance in Article 10, Part I of the Unified Development Ordinance.	N/A	
C. Site improvements in accordance with regulations of state of North Carolina, Dare County, and Town of Nags Head		
1. Proposed building type (e.g., concrete or frame), number of floors and dimensions.	YES	
2. Proposed building elevations of all sides of building labeled in accordance with proposed architectural design criteria of Article 10, Part VI of the UDO.	YES	
3. Total height and number of stories of proposed structure(s). If increased height is being proposed in conjunction with increased setbacks, show increase allowed in tabular form on plan. Note definition of height in Appendix A of the Unified Development Ordinance.	YES	
4. Existing and proposed ground elevations at the corners of proposed structure(s).	YES	
5. Sanitary sewer facilities with connection to sewer system or septic tank.	YES	
6. Approximate locations of proposed underground utilities and any necessary easements.	YES	
7. Screened dumpster pad(s) accessible to left-side loaders and sized in accordance with the Town Code of Ordinances.	N/A	
8. Proposed fire hydrants and extensions of water distribution lines.	YES	
9. Location and height of proposed free-standing signs. See requirements of Article 10, Part III of the Unified Development Ordinance.	N/A	
10. Location of all sidewalks, curbs, drives, and parking within the site and proposed finished elevations.	YES	
11. Handicapped parking spaces, walks, ramps, and entrances in accordance with the NC State Building Code. Handicapped parking spaces to be 13 feet wide, marked with a free-standing handicapped sign.	YES	
12. The Vegetative Buffer Yard areas have been identified and the proper buffer yard provision(s) have been identified as outlined in the Unified Development Ordinance.	YES	
13. Layout of numbered stalls/loading zones in accordance with Article 10, Part II of the Unified Development Ordinance.	YES	

Commercial ☐ Residential ☐	Parking Spaces Required	Parking Spaces Shown	Loading Spaces
<u>Proposed 2</u> STORIES BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE: PRINCIPAL <u>2,143 sq.ft.</u> ACCESSORY _____ TOTAL <u>2,143 sq.ft.</u>	For existing building: 4,365 SF * 1 space/300 sf = 15 spaces	37 Parking Spaces Shown (Including 2 ADA spaces)	N/A
# EMPLOYEES <u>Ten Existing</u>	Ten employees @ 1 space/employee = 10 spaces		N/A
#DWELLING/LODGING UNITS <u>Eight</u>	Proposed two-story building: 1 space/bedroom = 8 spaces		N/A
	33 required spaces total	37 provided spaces total	

D. EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. Vicinity map.
2. Total square feet of land area to undergo land-disturbing activity.
3. The total required parking spaces versus the total parking spaces provided. Parking spaces to be numbered.
4. Cross-section details of all streets, roads, ditches, and parking lot improvements.
5. The number of dwelling/commercial units. If more than one use is proposed (e.g., large hotel with shops, etc.), show breakdown of units or square footage by building.
6. If additional height above 35 feet is being proposed, the additional height and increased setbacks shall be laid out in tabular form.
7. Total site coverage calculations. (Refer to UDO to calculate lot coverage for lots abutting ocean or sound).
8. Components of the lighting plan (pole location, pole height, type of fixture, wattage, source of illumination, etc.). See Article 10, Part IV of the Unified Development Ordinance.
9. A completed architectural points worksheet for commercial structures subject to residential design criteria.

6. PLAN AND ATTACHMENT PREPARER CERTIFICATION

- A. I certify that all information for which I am responsible is complete and accurate.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ENGINEER ☐ ARCHITECT ☐ SURVEYOR ☐

- B. The following individuals have contributed information or attachments to the plan:

Name	Phone#	Information Provided
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Site Specific Development Plan Option - Vested Right for Site Plans

Please be advised that as the owner, or agent for the owner, you have the opportunity to apply for and receive a Site-Specific Development Plan that will establish a Vested Right for this project for a period of time not to exceed two (2) years from the date the Board of Commissioners approves the plan. You must secure a building permit for this project within two (2) years of the approval date, or your Site-Specific Development Plan will expire. If you elect not to apply for a Site-Specific Development Plan, you must secure a building permit within six (6) months or else your site plan will expire.

If you choose the Site-Specific Development Plan option, please keep in mind the following concerns:

1. Although your project may be classified as a permitted use, by requesting a Site-Specific Development Plan your project will be processed as a Special Use for which a public hearing will be held, and an additional fee of \$200.00 will be charged to process your application.
2. Once your Site-Specific Development Plan has been approved with vested rights status no modifications can be made to the plan.

If you wish to take advantage of the Vested Right process, please check off the Vested Right box on page one. If you do not, then leave the box blank.

1. PROPERTY OWNER/ADDRESS: TOWN OF NAGS HEAD
P.O. BOX 99
NAGS HEAD, NC 27959
2. PROPERTY INFORMATION: 425 W. HEALTH CENTER DRIVE
3. PARCEL ID NUMBER: 989209175322
4. ZONE: SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT DISTRICT (SED-80)
5. RECORDED REFERENCE: BK. 0324, PG. 0641
6. PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN FIRM ZONE X (0.2%) AND SUBJECT TO CHANGES BY FEMA.
BASED ON COMMUNITY PANEL 3720989200J DATED 9-20-06.
7. TOTAL PARCEL AREA 123,600 sqft / 2.84 acres
8. LOT COVERAGE

<u>PROPOSED COVERAGE</u>	
BUILDINGS.....	2,143.0 SQ.FT.
PERMEABLE PAVERS (W/ 0.67 REDUCTION).....	522.8 SQ.FT.
CONCRETE RIBBON/ASPHALT.....	<u>660.4 SQ. FT.</u>
TOTAL.....	35,883.5 SQ.FT. (29.03%)

PARKING CALCULATIONS

EXISTING PARKING (COMMUNITY CARE CLINIC):

MEDICAL USE CENTER:
ONE PARKING SPACE FOR EACH 300 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS FLOOR AREA, PLUS 1 SPACE PER
EMPLOYEE/DOCTOR

PROPOSED PARKING:

BOARDING HOUSE: 1 PARKING SPACE FOR EACH BEDROOM

8 BEDROOMS @ 1 SPACE/1BR = 8 PARKING SPACES
 REQUIRED = 8 SPACES
 TOTAL REQUIRED = 33 SPACES (2 ADA)
 TOTAL PROVIDED = 37 SPACES (2 ADA)

0. WATER SUPPLY PER TOWN OF NAGS HEAD. WATER CONNECTION MUST BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF NAGS HEAD SPECIFICATIONS AND SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A LICENSED WATER UTILITY CONTRACTOR. TOWN PRIOR TOWN PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
11. ALL UTILITIES TO BE PLACED UNDERGROUND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
12. LANDSCAPING AND BUFFERYARDS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCES.
13. SITE WILL BE LIGHTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10, PART IV "OUTDOOR LIGHTING" OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
14. PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE, A TOWN APPROVED SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN IS REQUIRED.
15. NO U.S.A.C.O.E. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS EXIST ON SITE.
16. EXISTING VEGETATION TO BE PRESERVED WHERE POSSIBLE.
17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT ALL PROPERTY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. DISTURBED OR REMOVED PROPERTY MONUMENTS SHALL BE REPLACED BY A NORTH CAROLINA LICENSED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR.
18. ALL WORK WITHIN THE NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY REQUIRES AN APPROVED NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENT.
19. A STATE HIGH DENSITY STORMWATER PERMIT IS REQUIRED IN ADVANCE OF ANY ONSITE DISTURBANCE.
20. THE ENTIRE SITE WILL BE IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE NFPA REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO FIRE PROTECTION INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO NFPA 30 AS WELL AS VOLUME V OF THE NC STATE BUILDING CODE.
21. ALL BUILDING SIGNAGE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE VIII SECTION 48-284 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
22. THIS PLAN SHALL COMPLY WITH VOLUME 1C OF THE NC BUILDING CODE.
23. THE PROPOSED BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 10 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
24. BUILDING CONSTRUCTION TO CONFORM TO 2018 NC FIRE PREVENTION CODE OR LATEST EDITION.
25. THE LOCATION, DIMENSIONS, AND ELEVATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES SHOWN ARE BASED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND ARE APPROXIMATE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DATA IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADVISE G.O. OF ANY ATTENTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ANY TEST PIT WORK OR PROVIDE LOCATION SERVICES AS REQUIRED TO AVOID CONFLICTS WITH EXISTING UTILITIES. CONTACT NORTH CAROLINA ONE-CALL AT TELEPHONE NO. 1-800-632-4949, 48 HOURS PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY EXCAVATION TO HAVE UTILITIES MARKED.
26. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SMOOTH TRANSITIONS FROM PROPOSED FEATURES TO EXISTING FEATURES AS NECESSARY.
27. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SEAL THE EDGE OF EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT WITH TACK COAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS WHERE NEW PAVEMENT JOINS EXISTING PAVEMENT.
28. ALL PAVEMENT JOINTS SHALL BE SAW-CUT PRIOR TO PAVING TO PROVIDE A DURABLE AND UNIFORM JOINT.
29. PROOF ROLL ALL NEW PAVED AREAS. NOTIFY OWNER AND ENGINEER OF ANY UNACCEPTABLE AREAS.
30. SEE LANDSCAPING PLAN PREPARED BY GARDEN DESIGN + CONSULTATION, DATED 6/9/22

~~30. SEE LANDSCAPING PLAN PREPARED BY GARDEN DESIGN + CONSULTATION, DATED 8/9/22~~

1. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES IN AREAS OF WORK PRIOR TO ANY WORK. PROVIDE ADEQUATE MEANS OF SUPPORT AND PROTECTION IF UTILITIES ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE.
2. REMOVE TREES, GRASSES, SHRUBS AND OTHER VEGETATION, IMPROVEMENTS OR OBSTRUCTIONS INTERFERING WITH INSTALLATION OF NEW CONSTRUCTION UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
3. NEW WASTEWATER SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS:

DESIGN FLOW RESIDENTIAL LOT: 8-BEDROOM SFD @ 120 GPD/BEDROOM = 960 GALLONS PER DAY (GPD)

ACTIVE: LONG TERM APPLICATION RATE (LTAR): 0.5 GPD/SQ.FT. FOR LPP SYSTEM


960 GPD/0.5 = 1,920 SQ.FT.
1,920 SQ.FT./5 FT. TRENCH = 384 LN. FT.
(7) 55" LINES @ 5' O.C. (385 LN. FT. TOTAL)
ASSUME NO FILL
- REPAIR: LONG TERM APPLICATION RATE (LTAR): 0.5 GPD/SQ.FT. FOR LPP SYSTEM

1,920 GPD/0.5 = 1,920 SQ.FT.
1,920 SQ.FT./5 FT. TRENCH = 384 LN. FT.
(7) 55" LINES @ 5' O.C. (385 LN. FT. TOTAL)
ASSUME NO FILL

4. UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PLAN, CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE COLLECTION, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS TO CONFORM WITH SECTION 1900 LAWS AND RULES FOR SEWAGE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEMS* OF THE CAROLINIAN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION 115 NCAC 18A.1900).
5. CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS TO CONFORM WITH ANY CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY THE LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT.
6. MATERIAL USED FOR COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM SHALL CONFORM WITH SAME REQUIREMENTS AS #4 ABOVE.
7. FILL MATERIAL SHALL HAVE SUCH SOIL TEXTURE TO BE CLASSIFIED AS SAND OR LOAMY SAND (SOIL GROUP I) UP TO THE TOP OF THE NITRIFICATION TRENCHES. THE FINAL SIX INCHES OF FILL USED TO COVER THE SYSTEM SHALL HAVE A FINER TEXTURE (SUCH AS GROUP II, III) FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A VEGETATIVE COVER. THE FILL MATERIAL AND THE EXISTING SOIL SHALL BE MIXED TO A DEPTH OF SIX INCHES BELOW THE INTERFACE. HEAVY VEGETATIVE COVER OR ORGANIC LITTER SHALL BE REMOVED BEFORE THE FILL MATERIAL IS INCORPORATED.
8. ALL SURFACE RUNOFF SHALL BE DIVERTED AROUND AND AWAY FROM THE EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA. FINISH GRADE SHALL BE LANDSCAPED TO PREVENT PONING OF SURFACE WATER. VEGETATE DRAINFIELD AREA AS SPECIFIED IN LANDSCAPE PLAN.
9. AN AUTHORIZATION TO CONSTRUCT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM DCHD PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF ONSITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM.

CURVE TABLE				
CURVE#	LENGTH	RADIUS	CHD LENGTH	CHD BEARING
G1	288.55'	280.00'	275.95'	S 81° 52' 04" E

	EX. IRON ROD		EX. SEWER MANHOLE
	EX. DRILL HOLE		EX. WATER METER
	EX. MAG NAIL		EX. ELECTRICAL CONNECTION
	EX. UTILITY POLE		EX. EXTERIOR LIGHT
	EX. FIRE HYDRANT		EX. LIGHT POLE
	EX. WATER VALVE		
	EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT		PROPOSED 6" CURB (NO GUTTER)
	PROPOSED ASPHALT		PROPOSED CURB AND GUTTER
	PROPOSED PERMEABLE PAVEMENT		PROPOSED CONCRETE RIBBON
			PROPOSED SPILL CURB AND GUTTER
			PROPOSED WATERLINE
			PROPOSED TREES/SHRUBS



NC License# C-00258

Since 1959

Quile

& Associates, P.C.

ENGINEERING • CONSULTING • PLANNING
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE • SURVEYING
WATER RESOURCES • BLACK BOX

8446 CAROTIDE HWY
SUITE 200
PO BOX 15001, NC 27846
Phone: (813) 837-8147
Fax: (813) 837-8148
Phone: (813) 837-8148
Phone: (813) 837-8148

90 CHURCH STREET
SUITE 200
MOUNTAIN, NC 28711

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

NO. 100-443891
SUB. A-100-443891
PAGE 100-443891

CERTIFICATION

SITE, UTILITY & LANDSCAPING PLAN

CEAN RESCUE HOUSING
425 HEALTH CENTER DRIVE

WAGS HEAD TOWNSHIP
DARE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

PROJECT NO.	P01057.1
DESIGNED BY	ND
DRAWN BY	ND
CHECKED BY	CMS
ISSUE DATE	08/30/24

SHEET NO.
2
OF 8 SHEET


NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR
DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS
DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY.
ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS
BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR THE COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.




Know what's below.
Call before you dig.

NOTE: THE DATA GIVEN ON THESE PLANS IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT THE ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL LEVELS, LOCATIONS, TYPES, AND DIMENSIONS OF THE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IF A DISCREPANCY IS FOUND, WORK SHALL CEASE AND THE ENGINEER NOTIFIED. WORK MAY CONTINUE UPON ENGINEER'S NOTICE TO PROCEED.




GENERAL NOTES:

1. INSPECT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE PROJECT AND SITE AS MAY RELATE TO THE EXTENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND/OR DEMOLITION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR/OWNER SHALL OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED TO PROCEED WITH DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION.
3. ALL WORK PERFORMED SHALL MEET ALL TOWN, COUNTY AND STATE CODES.
4. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
5. VERIFY ALL DOOR/WINDOW ROUGH OPENINGS WITH DOOR MFG. SPEC.
6. ALL PILINGS SHALL BE AS DEEP AS REQUIRED TO MEET CODES. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY IN THE FIELD
7. CONTRACTOR TO USE TEMPERED GLASS IN ANY WINDOW OR DOOR THAT IS WITHIN 18" OF THE FINISHED FLOOR.
8. ALL BEDROOMS TO HAVE A MINIMUM OF ONE WINDOW OR DOOR MEETING THE NORTH CAROLINA EGRESS CODE REQUIREMENTS. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY.
9. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND MECHANICAL WORK. ALL SUCH WORK MUST CONFORM TO NORTH CAROLINA CODES.
10. CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM PLAN DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WITH EXISTING SITE CONSTRAINTS. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INFORMING THE ARCHITECT OF ANY PROBLEMS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE OWNER WITH ALL MANUALS AND MAINTENANCE INFORMATION BEFORE THE FINAL PAYMENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL WARRANTY THE WORK FOR THE PERIOD OF ONE YEAR.
12. ELEVATOR MFG. SHALL TAKE ANY AND ALL EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE SPACE AT ENTRY BETWEEN FLUSH DOOR AND CABIN DOOR SUCH THAT IT PREVENTS CHILDREN FROM INJURY. SPACE GUARDS SHALL BE REQUIRED FROM THE ELEVATOR MANUFACTURER.
13. SEE 2/2 FOR WINDOW LEGEND.
14. SEE 2/2 FOR DOOR LEGEND.
15. SEE 2/A1.2 FOR TYPICAL STAIR DETAIL.




1 FIRST FLOOR PLAN
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"




2 WALL ASSEMBLIES
NOT TO SCALE

REVISIONS:	DATE:
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 1 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 9 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 16 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	5 / 16 / 24
ENGINEERING	6 / 10 / 24
PLANNING BOARD	9 / 5 / 24



1 SECOND FLOOR PLAN
SCALE: $\frac{1}{4}" = 1'-0"$



2 TYPICAL STAIR DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE

BEACON
ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.L.L.C.
P.O. BOX 1827
KITTY HAWK, NC 27949
PH: (252) 441-6767, FAX (252) 261-6045

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:
MICHAEL D. O'STEEN, P.E., PLLC
1720 BAY DRIVE, KILL DEVIL HILLS,
NORTH CAROLINA
FIRM # P-2112 PH: (252) 423-2300

PME ENGINEER: **COASTAL**
ENGINEERING
2406 Princess Anne Road
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23456
Phone (757) 563-9027
Facsimile (757) 563-9028

PROJECT NAME:
**TOWN OF
NAGS HEAD**
Workforce Housing
425 Health Center Dr.
Nags Head, NC

REVISIONS:	DATE:
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 1 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 9 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 16 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	5 / 16 / 24
ENGINEERING	6 / 10 / 24
PLANNING BOARD	9 / 5 / 24

DRAWING NAME:
**BUILDING
PLANS**

PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION:

DRAWN BY: CN

DATE: 9 / 5 / 24

SCALE: -

STAMP:
INCOMPLETE
FOR INTERIM REVIEW
ONLY AND NOT
INTENDED FOR BIDDING,
PROCUREMENT, PERMIT
OR CONSTRUCTION
PURPOSES.

© 2024 BEACON ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN
P.L.L.C. - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SHEET:
A1.2



1 EXTERIOR ELEVATION
SCALE: $\frac{1}{4}" = 1'-0"$



2 EXTERIOR ELEVATION
SCALE: $\frac{1}{4}" = 1'-0"$

BEACON
ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.L.L.C.
P.O. BOX 1827
KITTY HAWK, NC 27949
PH: (252) 441-6767, FAX (252) 261-6045

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:
MICHAEL D. O'STEEN, P.E., PLLC
1720 BAY DRIVE, KILL DEVIL HILLS,
NORTH CAROLINA
FIRM # P-2112 PH: (252) 423-2300

PME ENGINEER:
COASTAL
ENGINEERING
2406 Princess Anne Road
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23456
Phone (757) 563-9027
Facsimile (757) 563-9028

PROJECT NAME:
**TOWN OF
NAGS HEAD**
Workforce Housing
425 Health Center Dr.
Nags Head, NC

REVISIONS:	DATE:
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 1 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 9 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 16 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	5 / 16 / 24
ENGINEERING	6 / 10 / 24
PLANNING BOARD	9 / 5 / 24

DRAWING NAME:
**EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS**

PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION:

DRAWN BY: CN


DATE: 9 / 5 / 24

SCALE: -


STAMP:
INCOMPLETE
FOR INTERIM REVIEW
ONLY AND NOT
INTENDED FOR BIDDING,
PROCUREMENT, PERMIT
OR CONSTRUCTION
PURPOSES.

© 2024 BEACON ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN
P.L.L.C. - ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SHEET:
A2.1



1 EXTERIOR ELEVATION
SCALE: $\frac{1}{4}" = 1'-0"$



2 EXTERIOR ELEVATION
SCALE: $\frac{1}{4}" = 1'-0"$

BEACON
ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.L.L.C.
P.O. BOX 1827
KITTY HAWK, NC 27949
PH: (252) 441-6767, FAX (252) 261-6045

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:
MICHAEL D. O'STEEN, P.E., PLLC
1720 BAY DRIVE, KILL DEVIL HILLS,
NORTH CAROLINA
FIRM # P-2112 PH: (252) 423-2300

PME ENGINEER: **COASTAL**
ENGINEERING
2406 Princess Anne Road
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23456
Phone (757) 563-9027
Facsimile (757) 563-9028

PROJECT NAME:
**TOWN OF
NAGS HEAD**
Workforce Housing
425 Health Center Dr.
Nags Head, NC

REVISIONS:	DATE:
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 1 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 9 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 16 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	5 / 16 / 24
ENGINEERING	6 / 10 / 24
PLANNING BOARD	9 / 5 / 24

DRAWING NAME:
**EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS**

PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION:

DRAWN BY: CN

DATE: 9 / 5 / 24

SCALE: -

STAMP:
INCOMPLETE
FOR INTERIM REVIEW
ONLY AND NOT
INTENDED FOR BIDDING,
PROCUREMENT, PERMIT
OR CONSTRUCTION
PURPOSES.

© 2024 BEACON ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN
P.L.L.C.- ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SHEET:
A2.2



CPE ENGINEER:

COASTAL
ENGINEERING

2406 Princess Anne Road
Suite 200
Virginia Beach, VA 23456
Phone (757) 563-9027
Facsimile (757) 563-9028

PROJECT NAME:

TOWN OF

NAGS HEAD

Workforce Housing
425 Health Center Dr.
Nags Head, NC

REVISIONS:	DATE:
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 1 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 9 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	2 / 16 / 24
CLIENT REVIEW	5 / 16 / 24
ENGINEERING	6 / 10 / 24
PLANNING BOARD	9 / 5 / 24

DRAWING NAME:

BUILDING
SECTIONS

PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION:

DRAWN BY: CN

DATE: 9 / 5 / 24

SCALE: _____


STAMP:

INCOMPLETE
FOR INTERIM REVIEW
ONLY AND NOT
INTENDED FOR BIDDING,
PROCUREMENT, PERMIT
OR CONSTRUCTION
PURPOSES.

© 2024 BEACON ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN
P.L.L.C.- ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SHEET:

A3.1



1 BUILDING SECTION
SCALE: $\frac{3}{8}" = 1'-0"$



This map is prepared from data used for the inventory of the real property for tax purposes. Primary information sources such as recorded deeds, plats, wills, and other primary public records should be consulted for verification of the information contained in this map.

425 W Health Center DR
Nags Head NC, 27959
Parcel: 005688000
Pin: 989209175322

Owners: Town Of Nags Head -Primary
Owner

Building Value: \$523,000
Land Value: \$562,500
Misc Value: \$21,000
Total Value: \$1,106,500

Tax District: Nags Head
Subdivision: Subdivision - None
Lot BLK-Sec: Lot: Blk: Sec:
Property Use: Town Of Nags Head
Building Type: Medical Office Bldg
Year Built: 1975



005688-000

14-989209-011A


02/11

SPD 40

R2

C2

MATCH LINE G



SECTION 8.02

- (2) Minimum required lot width per dwelling unit as measured at the building line for subdivided lots (other than residential cluster) 100 feet.
- (3) Minimum required front yard for subdivided lots (other than residential cluster) 15 feet.
- (4) Minimum required side yard for subdivided lots (other than residential cluster) 15 feet.
- (5) Minimum required rear yard for subdivided lots (other than residential cluster) 15 feet.
- (6) Maximum permissible lot coverage by the principal and all accessory buildings including swimming pools (other than residential clusters) shall not exceed thirty (30) percent of the total lot area.
- (7) Accessory buildings including swimming pools shall meet all yard requirements in this section.
- (8) Planned Residential Developments involving residential clusters or townhouses shall meet all requirements of this ordinance except as herein set out:
 - a. Area requirements within a planned residential development utilizing residential clusters and townhouses shall not be less than: for the first unit - 40,000 square feet; for the second, third and fourth units - 20,000 square feet each; and for the fifth and sixth units - 15,000 square feet each; and 10,000 square feet for each additional unit with the approval of the Planning Board and Board of Commissioners as provided in Section 10.04 of this Ordinance.
 - b. Planned Residential Developments shall be subject to the provisions of Section 10.04 of this Ordinance.
 - c. No unit in a Planned Residential Cluster shall be located within one hundred (100) feet of any other cluster, or the boundary of the area designated for Planned Residential Cluster.

- NOTES:
- PROPERTY OWNER/ADDRESS: TOWN OF NAGS HEAD
P.O. BOX 99
NAGS HEAD, NC 27959
 - PROPERTY INFORMATION: 425 W. HEALTH CENTER DRIVE
 - PARCEL ID NUMBER: 989209175322
 - ZONE: SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT DISTRICT (SED-80)
 - RECORDED REFERENCE: BK. 0324, PG. 0641
 - PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN FIRM ZONE X (0.2%) AND SUBJECT TO CHANGES BY FEMA.
 - TOTAL PARCEL AREA 123,600 sqft / 2.84 acres
 - LOT COVERAGE

EXISTING COVERAGE

BUILDINGS & DECKS.....	6,314.0	SQ.FT.
SIDEWALK, HVAC, & PUMP HOUSE.....	484.3	SQ.FT.
ASPHALT.....	25,769.0	SQ.FT.
HELICOPTER PAD.....	2,811.8	SQ. FT.
TOTAL.....	35,369.1	SQ.FT. (28.6%)

EXISTING TO BE REMOVED

CONCRETE (HELICOPTER PAD).....	2,811.8	SQ.FT.
ASPHALT.....	268.4	SQ. FT.
TOTAL.....	32,288.9	SQ.FT. (26.1%)

- SETBACKS SHOWN ARE PER TOWN OF NAGS HEAD AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS THAT MAY EXIST.
- PROJECT SCOPE: PERMITTING AND CONSTRUCTION OF A ±10,400 SQ.FT. ADDITION TO THE EXISTING CANCER CENTER WITH ASSOCIATED PARKING AND APURTENANCES.
- WASTEWATER: 22 EMPLOYEES @ 250 GPD/EMPLOYEE = 5,500 GPD (WASTEWATER IS PROPOSED TO BE CONVEYED TO CWS WWTP)
- WATER SUPPLY PER TOWN OF NAGS HEAD. WATER CONNECTION MUST BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF NAGS HEAD SPECIFICATIONS AND SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A LICENSED WATER UTILITY CONTRACTOR. NOTIFY TOWN PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- ALL UTILITIES TO BE PLACED UNDERGROUND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
- LANDSCAPING AND BUFFERYARDS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCES.
- SITE WILL BE LIGHTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10, PART IV "OUTDOOR LIGHTING" OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
- PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE, A TOWN APPROVED SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN IS REQUIRED.
- NO U.S.A.C.O.E. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS EXIST ON SITE.
- EXISTING VEGETATION TO BE PRESERVED WHERE POSSIBLE.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT ALL PROPERTY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. DISTURBED OR REMOVED PROPERTY MONUMENTS SHALL BE REPLACED BY A NORTH CAROLINA LICENSED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR.
- ALL WORK WITHIN THE NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY REQUIRES AN APPROVED NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENT.
- A STATE HIGH DENSITY STORMWATER PERMIT IS REQUIRED IN ADVANCE OF ANY ONSITE DISTURBANCE.
RECEIVING STREAM: ROANOKE SOUND (30-21)(SA; HWQ)
- THIS PLAN SET TO BE UTILIZED FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SITE LAYOUT IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, GRADING & DRAINAGE, INSTALLATION OF SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES, WATER SYSTEM, AND WASTEWATER CONNECTION FOR BUILDING DESIGN AND ASSOCIATED PLUMBING, SEE APPROPRIATE SEPARATE PLANS.

DEMOLITION NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE EXISTING UNDERGROUND SERVICES - TO INCLUDE BUT NOT LIMITED TO ELECTRIC, CABLE, TELEPHONE, GAS, SANITARY SEWER AND WATER - AND SHALL COORDINATE PROPER PROTECTION AND/OR RELOCATE WITH APPROPRIATE OWNER/UTILITY COMPANY.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL WALK THE SITE AND BE FAMILIAR WITH THE SCOPE OF DEMOLITION REQUIRED. ALL DEMOLITION WORK REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT NEW IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND BE UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION.
- DEMOLITION SHALL INCLUDE BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO THE EXCAVATION, HAULING AND OFFSITE DISPOSAL OF CONCRETE CURBS AND GUTTERS, BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENTS AND ALL MATERIALS OR VEGETATION CLEARED AND STRIPPED TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF NEW IMPROVEMENTS AND WITHIN THE LIMITS OF CLEARING AND GRADING. COORDINATE WITH APPROPRIATE DRAWINGS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL PROPERTY AND STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES ON THE PROPERTY NOT TO BE DEMOLISHED. DAMAGE TO THE PROPERTY DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S ACTIVITIES SHALL BE REPLACED IN KIND BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO COST TO THE OWNER.
- ALL EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS INDICATED OR REQUIRED TO BE DEMOLISHED SHALL INCLUDE REMOVAL FROM PROJECT AREA.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PRODUCE A PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD OF DEVELOPMENT COMMENCING WITH A RECORD OF THE SITE AS IT APPEARS BEFORE DEMOLITION IS BEGUN. AFTERWARDS A PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHALL BE MAINTAINED DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS.
- EXISTING PAVEMENT, CURB AND GUTTER, LIGHTS, FENCES, TREE/VEGETATION AND UTILITIES NOT INTENDED FOR DEMOLITION SHALL BE MAINTAINED, PROTECTED, AND UNDISTURBED DURING DEMOLITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE REMOVAL OF BITUMINOUS CONCRETE, PAVEMENTS AND CURBS AND GUTTER WITH THE SITE PLAN.
- SMOOTH SAW CUT OF EXISTING PAVEMENTS, CURBS AND CURBS AND GUTTERS TO BE DEMOLISHED SHALL BE PROVIDED.
- ALL DEMOLITION WORK SHALL BE DONE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS AS WELL AS OSHA REGULATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR'S ACTIVITIES SHALL NOT IMPEDE USAGE OR INGRESS/EGRESS TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES. COORDINATE WITH OWNER MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC/PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- MAINTAIN POSITIVE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM BUILDINGS AT ALL TIMES DURING DEMOLITION.
- A DEMOLITION PERMIT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD PRIOR TO DEMOLITION WORK.

CURVE TABLE				
CURVER	LENGTH	RADIUS	CHD LENGTH	CHD BEARINGS
C1	288.55'	280.00'	275.45'	S 81° 52' 04" E

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY. ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR THE COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.




Know what's below.
Call before you dig.

NOTE: THE DATA GIVEN ON THESE PLANS IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT THE ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL LEVELS, LOCATIONS, TYPES, AND DIMENSIONS OF THE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IF A DISCREPANCY IS FOUND, WORK SHALL CEASE AND THE ENGINEER NOTIFIED. WORK MAY CONTINUE UPON ENGINEERS NOTICE TO PROCEED.

SHEET INDEX

- EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
- PROPOSED SITE, UTILITY, & LANDSCAPING PLAN
- GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN
- SESC PLAN
- SITE & UTILITY DETAILS
- WASTEWATER DETAILS
- WATER DETAILS
- STORMWATER & SESC DETAILS



VICINITY MAP (NAGS HEAD)
N.T.S.

- LEGEND
- EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT
 - EXISTING CONCRETE
 - PROPOSED DEMOLITION
 - EX. IRON ROD
 - EX. DRILL HOLE
 - EX. MAG NAIL
 - EX. UTILITY POLE
 - EX. FIRE HYDRANT
 - EX. WATER VALVE
 - EX. SEWER MANHOLE
 - EX. WATER METER
 - EX. ELECTRICAL CONNECTION
 - EX. EXTERIOR LIGHT
 - EX. LIGHT POLE
 - EXISTING CONTOUR
 - EXISTING SPOT GRADE
 - EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT AND VALVE (NOT FIELD SURVEYED)
 - EXISTING WATERLINE (SHOWN PER PREVIOUS SITE PLAN (NOT FIELD SURVEYED))

EXISTING CONDITIONS/DEMO PLAN

OCEAN RESCUE HOUSING
425 HEALTH CENTER DRIVE

PROJECT NO. P01057.1
DESIGNED BY ND
DRAWN BY ND
CHECKED BY CMS
ISSUE DATE 08/07/24

SHEET NO.


1

OF 8 SHEETS

NC License# C-0208

SINCE 1959

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES & SURVEYING
**SERVING NOT OFFERED AT BLACK MOUNTAIN, NC 28711



COPYRIGHT © 2024
QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY
OF QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. ANY
REPRODUCTION OR DISTRIBUTION
WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION IS
PROHIBITED.

IF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SIGNED
BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER,
IT SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY.
IT SHALL NOT BE USED FOR
CONSTRUCTION OR FOR ANY OTHER
PURPOSES WITHOUT THE
APPROPRIATE NOTICES
OTHERWISE NOTED.

REVISIONS

NO. DATE

1 09/13/2024

REMOVED PARKING SPACES

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

DATE

NO.

1

NOTES:

- PROPERTY OWNER/ADDRESS: TOWN OF NAGS HEAD
P.O. BOX 99
NAGS HEAD, NC 27959
 - PROPERTY INFORMATION: 425 W. HEALTH CENTER DRIVE
 - PARCEL ID NUMBER: 989209175322
 - ZONE: SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT DISTRICT (SED-80)
 - RECORDED REFERENCE: BK. 0324, PG. 0641
 - PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN FIRM ZONE X (0.2%) AND SUBJECT TO CHANGES BY FEMA. BASED ON COMMUNITY PANEL 3720989200J DATED 9-20-06.
 - TOTAL PARCEL AREA 123,600 sqft / 2.84 acres
 - LOT COVERAGE
- EXISTING TO REMAIN
- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| BUILDINGS & DECKS..... | 6,314.0 | SQ.FT. |
| SIDEWALK, HVAC, & PUMP HOUSE..... | 484.3 | SQ.FT. |
| ASPHALT..... | 25,490.0 | SQ.FT. |
| TOTAL..... | 32,288.9 | SQ.FT. (26.1%) |
- PROPOSED COVERAGE
- | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|
| BUILDINGS..... | 2,143.0 | SQ.FT. |
| PERMEABLE PAVERS (W/ 0.67 REDUCTION)..... | 522.8 | SQ.FT. |
| CONCRETE RIBBON/ASPHALT..... | 126.7 | SQ. FT. |
| TOTAL..... | 35,081.4 | SQ.FT. (28.3%) |
- MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE (28.6%) = 35,349.6 SF
- PARKING CALCULATIONS
- EXISTING PARKING (COMMUNITY CARE CLINIC):
- MEDICAL USE CENTER:
ONE PARKING SPACE FOR EACH 300 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS FLOOR AREA, PLUS 1 SPACE PER EMPLOYEE/DOCTOR
- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 4,365 SF * 1 SP / 300 SF | = 15 SPACES |
| 10 EMPLOYEES @ 1 SPACE / EMPLOYEES | = 10 SPACES |
| REQUIRED | = 25 SPACES FOR EXISTING |
- PROPOSED PARKING:
- BOARDING HOUSE: 1 PARKING SPACE FOR EACH BEDROOM
- | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 8 BEDROOMS @ 1 SPACE/1BR | = 8 PARKING SPACES |
| REQUIRED | = 8 SPACES |
| TOTAL REQUIRED | = 33 SPACES (2 ADA) |
| TOTAL PROVIDED | = 36 SPACES (2 ADA) |
- WATER SUPPLY PER TOWN OF NAGS HEAD. WATER CONNECTION MUST BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF NAGS HEAD SPECIFICATIONS AND SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A LICENSED WATER UTILITY CONTRACTOR. NOTIFY TOWN PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
 - ALL UTILITIES TO BE PLACED UNDERGROUND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
 - LANDSCAPING AND BUFFERYARDS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCES.
 - SITE WILL BE LIGHTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 10, PART IV "OUTDOOR LIGHTING" OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
 - PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE, A TOWN APPROVED SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN IS REQUIRED.
 - NO U.S.A.C.O.E. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS EXIST ON SITE.
 - EXISTING VEGETATION TO BE PRESERVED WHERE POSSIBLE.
 - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT ALL PROPERTY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. DISTURBED OR REMOVED PROPERTY MONUMENTS SHALL BE REPLACED BY A NORTH CAROLINA LICENSED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR.
 - ALL WORK WITHIN THE NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY REQUIRES AN APPROVED NC DOT RIGHT-OF-WAY ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENT.
 - A STATE HIGH DENSITY STORMWATER PERMIT IS REQUIRED IN ADVANCE OF ANY ONSITE DISTURBANCE.
 - THE ENTIRE SITE WILL BE IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE NFPA REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO FIRE PROTECTION INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO NFPA 30 AS WELL AS VOLUME V OF THE NC STATE BUILDING CODE.
 - ALL BUILDING SIGNAGE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE VIII SECTION 48-284 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
 - THIS PLAN SHALL COMPLY WITH VOLUME 1C OF THE NC BUILDING CODE.
 - THE PROPOSED BUILDING SHALL COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 10 OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD CODE OF ORDINANCES.
 - BUILDING CONSTRUCTION TO CONFORM TO 2018 NC FIRE PREVENTION CODE OR LATEST EDITION.
 - THE LOCATION, DIMENSIONS, AND ELEVATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES SHOWN ARE BASED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND ARE APPROXIMATE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DATA IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION TO HIS/HER OWN SATISFACTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ANY TEST PIT WORK OR PROVIDE LOCATION SERVICES AS REQUIRED TO AVOID CONFLICTS WITH EXISTING UTILITIES. CONTACT NORTH CAROLINA ONE-CALL AT TELEPHONE NO. 1-800-632-4949, 48 HOURS PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY EXCAVATION TO HAVE UTILITIES MARKED.
 - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SMOOTH TRANSITIONS FROM PROPOSED FEATURES TO EXISTING FEATURES AS NECESSARY.
 - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SEAL THE EDGE OF EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT WITH TACK COAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS WHERE NEW PAVEMENT JOINS EXISTING PAVEMENT.
 - ALL PAVEMENT JOINTS SHALL BE SAW-CUT PRIOR TO PAVING TO PROVIDE A DURABLE AND UNIFORM JOINT.
 - PROOF ROLL ALL NEW PAVED AREAS. NOTIFY OWNER AND ENGINEER OF ANY UNACCEPTABLE AREAS.
 - SEE LANDSCAPING PLAN PREPARED BY GARDEN DESIGN + CONSULTATION, DATED 8/9/22

WASTEWATER NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES IN AREAS OF WORK PRIOR TO ANY WORK. PROVIDE ADEQUATE MEANS OF SUPPORT AND PROTECTION IF UTILITIES ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE.
- REMOVE TREES, GRASSES, SHRUBS AND OTHER VEGETATION, IMPROVEMENTS OR OBSTRUCTIONS INTERFERING WITH INSTALLATION OF NEW CONSTRUCTION UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- NEW WASTEWATER SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS:
DESIGN FLOW RESIDENTIAL LOT: 8-BEDROOM SFD @ 120 GPD/BEDROOM = 960 GALLONS PER DAY (GPD)
ACTIVE: LONG TERM APPLICATION RATE (LTAR): 0.5 GPD/SQ.FT. FOR LPP SYSTEM
960 GPD/0.5 = 1,920 SQ.FT.
1,920 SQ.FT./5 FT. TRENCH = 384 LN. FT.
(7) 55' LINES @ 5' O.C. (385 LN. FT. TOTAL)
ASSUME NO FILL
REPAIR: LONG TERM APPLICATION RATE (LTAR): 0.5 GPD/SQ.FT. FOR LPP SYSTEM
1,920 GPD/0.5 = 1,920 SQ.FT.
1,920 SQ.FT./5 FT. TRENCH = 384 LN. FT.
(7) 55' LINES @ 5' O.C. (385 LN. FT. TOTAL)
ASSUME NO FILL
- UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PLAN, CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE COLLECTION, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS TO CONFORM WITH SECTION 1900 "LAWS AND RULES FOR SEWAGE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEMS" OF NORTH CAROLINA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION (15 NCAC 18A.1900).
- CONSTRUCTION OF SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEM, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM IS TO CONFORM WITH ANY CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY THE LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT.
- MATERIAL USED FOR COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM SHALL CONFORM WITH SAME REQUIREMENTS AS #4 ABOVE.
- FILL MATERIAL SHALL HAVE SUCH SOIL TEXTURE TO BE CLASSIFIED AS SAND OR LOAMY SAND (SOIL GROUP I) UP TO THE TOP OF THE NITRIFICATION TRENCHES. THE FINAL SIX INCHES OF FILL USED TO COVER THE SYSTEM SHALL HAVE A FINER TEXTURE (SUCH AS GROUP II, III) FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A VEGETATIVE COVER. THE FILL MATERIAL AND THE EXISTING SOIL SHALL BE MIXED TO A DEPTH OF SIX INCHES BELOW THE INTERFACE. HEAVY VEGETATIVE COVER OR ORGANIC LITTER SHALL BE REMOVED BEFORE THE FILL MATERIAL IS INCORPORATED.
- ALL SURFACE RUNOFF SHALL BE DIVERTED AROUND AND AWAY FROM THE DRAINFIELD AREA. FINISH GRADE SHALL BE LANDSCAPED TO PREVENT PONDING OF SURFACE WATER. VEGETATE DRAINFIELD AREA AS SPECIFIED IN LANDSCAPE PLAN.
- AN AUTHORIZATION TO CONSTRUCT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM DCHD PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF ONSITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM.

CURVE TABLE				
CURVE#	LENGTH	RADIUS	CHD LENGTH	CHD BEARING
C1	280.55'	280.00'	275.95'	S 81° 52' 04" E

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY. ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR THE COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.



Know what's below.
Call before you dig.

NOTE:
THE DATA GIVEN ON THESE PLANS IS BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT THE ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL LEVELS, LOCATIONS, TYPES, AND DIMENSIONS OF THE EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IF A DISCREPANCY IS FOUND, WORK SHALL CEASE AND THE ENGINEER NOTIFIED. WORK MAY CONTINUE UPON ENGINEERS NOTICE TO PROCEED.

LEGEND

- | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| ● | EX. IRON ROD | ○ | EX. SEWER MANHOLE |
| ○ | EX. DRILL HOLE | ⊗ | EX. WATER METER |
| △ | EX. MAG NAIL | ⊕ | EX. ELECTRICAL CONNECTION |
| — | EX. UTILITY POLE | + | EX. EXTERIOR LIGHT |
| — | EX. FIRE HYDRANT | ☆ | EX. LIGHT POLE |
| — | EX. WATER VALVE | | |
| ▨ | EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT | — | PROPOSED 6" CURB (NO GUTTER) |
| ■ | PROPOSED ASPHALT | — | PROPOSED CURB AND GUTTER |
| ▤ | PROPOSED PERMEABLE PAVEMENT | — | PROPOSED CONCRETE RIBBON |
| | | — | PROPOSED SPILL CURB AND GUTTER |
| | | — | PROPOSED WATERLINE |
| | | — | PROPOSED TREES/SHRUBS |

VICINITY MAP (NAGS HEAD)
N.T.S.

NC License# C-0208
SINCE 1959
Quible & Associates, P.C.
ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES & SURVEYING
SERVING NOT OFFERED AT BLACK MOUNTAIN OFFICE
8486 CAROLINA HWY
POWELL POINT, NC 27966
Phone: (252) 891-8127
Fax: (252) 891-8128
WWW.QA-NC.COM

CLARK COUNTY
SECTION 1
NORTH CAROLINA
PLANNING
COMMISSION
CERTIFICATION


COPYRIGHT © 2024
QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY
OF QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. ANY
REPRODUCTION OR TRANSMISSION
WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION IS
PROHIBITED.
IF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT SIGNED
BY A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER OR SURVEYOR, IT
SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY
AND SHALL NOT BE USED FOR
CONSTRUCTION OR ANY OTHER
PURPOSES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

REVISIONS		REMOVED PARKING SPACES	
NO.	DATE	NO.	DATE
1	09/13/2024		

SITE, UTILITY & LANDSCAPING PLAN
OCEAN RESCUE HOUSING
425 HEALTH CENTER DRIVE
NORTH CAROLINA
NAGS HEAD TOWNSHIP
DARE COUNTY

PROJECT NO.	P01057.1
DESIGNED BY	ND
DRAWN BY	ND
CHECKED BY	CMS
ISSUE DATE	08/30/24

SHEET NO.
2
OF 8 SHEETS





MEMORANDUM

Town of Nags Head

Planning & Development Department

To: Planning Board

From: Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director
Joseph Costello, Deputy Planning Director

Date: September 13, 2024

Subject: Consideration of various amendments to the SPD-C Village at Nags Head Commercial-1 Zoning District.

BACKGROUND

In late 2023, staff presented a brief update to the Planning Board regarding the potential future construction of a Dare County EMS Station at 105 W. Seachase Drive. During that update, staff indicated that amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) may be required to facilitate this project. The Planning Board reached a consensus at that time, agreeing that staff should move forward with preparing the necessary amendments if deemed appropriate.

Dare County has been working with Oakley Collier Architects on the proposed design for the county's emergency services project. After reviewing the preliminary site development renderings and analyzing the existing SPD-C Village Commercial-1 District standards, the following amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) would be necessary:

- **Amend Section 9.36, Table of Uses and Activities** within the SPD-C, Village at Nags Head Commercial-1 District to include "County EMS Station" as a permitted use in the district.
- **Amend Section 9.21.8.2** to reduce the front yard setback requirement from 75 feet to 45 feet. Upon review of this section, it appears that the current regulations were designed to apply primarily to the large community shopping center within the Village Commercial-1 district (OBX Mall), rather than stand-alone commercial structures that are unaffiliated with or separate from the shopping center. Additionally, Section 9.17, Transportation Standards within the SPD-Village District, specifies a 45-foot setback from US 158, further suggesting that the 75-foot setback applies solely to the shopping center. This amendment would provide clarity and, importantly, would only impact the subject property, as the only other Village Commercial-1 designated property adjacent to US 158 in the Village at Nags Head is the shopping center. The property located at 111 W. Seachase Drive (Rusty Crow Quilt Shop) is zoned Village Commercial-1, however it is not adjacent to US 158.
- **Amend Section 10.16, Required Parking by Use**, to establish a parking standard for the new use category of "County EMS Station."

Staff has provided these draft amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance below for the Planning Boards review and consideration.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

LU-9, Encourage land uses that serve the needs of both year-round and seasonal residents in support of the town's overall vision for the community.

STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff finds the proposed text amendment to be consistent with the 2022 Comprehensive Land Use Plan and recommend adoption of the amendment as presented.

Note that the Planning Board will be required to review the Site Plan application for any future development of this property.

With regard to the Planning Board's review and action, Staff recommends consideration of the following UDO provisions:

3.5.3. Action by the Planning Board.

3.5.3.1. Every proposed amendment, UDO text amendment or zoning map amendment, shall be referred to the Planning Board for its recommendation and report. The Board of Commissioners is not bound by the recommendations, if any, of the Planning Board.

3.5.3.2. Prior to the consideration by the Board of Commissioners of a proposed UDO text amendment or zoning map amendment, the Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Planning Board shall provide a written recommendation, certified by the UDO Administrator, to the Board of Commissioners that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the Board of Commissioners.

3.5.3.3. Members of the Planning Board shall not vote on recommendations regarding any UDO text amendment or zoning map amendment where the outcome of the matter being considered is reasonably likely to have a direct, substantial, and readily identifiable financial impact on the member.

(DRAFT)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF NAGS HEAD, NORTH CAROLINA AS IT PERTAINS TO A NEW USE OF COUNTY EMS STATION WITHIN THE SPD-C, VILLAGE AT NAGS HEAD, COMMERCIAL – 1 DISTRICT.

ARTICLE I. Purpose(s) and Authority.

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 160D-701, the Town of Nags Head (the “Town”) may enact and amend ordinances regulating the zoning and development of land within its jurisdiction and specifically the location and use of buildings, structures and land; pursuant to this authority and the additional authority granted by N.C.G.S. Chap. 160D-702, the Town has adopted comprehensive zoning regulations and has codified the same within the Unified Development Ordinance, Part II of the Town Code, adopted pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 160D-103, which allows the Town to combine certain land development ordinances into a unified ordinance;

WHEREAS, Section 2.4.4.3 of the Unified Development Ordinance provides that the powers and duties of the Planning Board include developing and recommending policies, ordinances, development regulations, administrative procedures, and other means for carrying out plans in a coordinated and efficient manner;

WHEREAS, Section 3.5.1. of the Town Code makes clear that a zoning ordinance text amendment may be initiated by motion of the Board of Commissioners, by motion of the Planning Board, or by application by any person within the zoning jurisdiction of the Town;

WHEREAS, Planning staff requested the Planning Board initiate the amendments necessary to construct a County EMS Station at 105 W. Seachase Drive at their September 2023 meeting;

WHEREAS, the requested amendment is consistent with the 2022 Comprehensive Land Use Plan;

ARTICLE II. Construction.

For purposes of this ordinance amendment, underlined words (underline) shall be considered as additions to existing Town Code language and strikethrough words (~~strikethrough~~) shall be considered deletions to existing language. Any portions of the adopted Town Code which are not repeated herein but are instead replaced by an ellipsis (“...”) shall remain as they currently exist within the Town Code.

ARTICLE III. Amendment of the Unified Development Ordinance.

PART I. That **Section 9.36 – Table of Uses and Activities for the SPD-C District**, be amended as follows:

Use Category/Class	Use Type	C1	C2	I	Hotel	SF-DET	SF-ATT	MF	TH	REC	Supp Reg
Institutional	Municipal building, including fire station, police station, and administrative office, <u>and County EMS Station</u>	<u>P</u>		P							

PART II. That **Section 9.28.8.2 Table of Development Standards, Minimum Front Yard**, be amended as follows:

9.21.8.2. Minimum Front Yard. 75 feet from the main complex or the easternmost portion thereof from US 158. Properties that are not affiliated with the community shopping center shall follow the 45-foot front yard setback provided in Section 9.17, Transportation Standards.

PART III. That **Section 10.16, Table 10:2, Required Parking by Use**, be amended as follows:

Use Category/Class	Use Type	Required Parking
<u>Institutional</u>	<u>Emergency Services Facility (Police, Fire, EMS)</u>	<u>1 parking space per employee on duty and 1 parking space per 200 square feet of training facility and customer service areas.</u>

PART IV. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.
This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the ____ day of ____ 2024.

Benjamin Cahoon, Mayor

ATTEST:

Town Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Town Attorney

Date adopted: _____

Motion to adopt by Commissioner _____

Motion seconded by Commissioner _____

Vote: _____ AYES _____ NAYS



BOC ACTIONS SEPTEMBER 4, 2024

1. Call to order - Mayor Cahoon called the meeting to order at 9 a.m. and recognized former Mayor Bob Muller in the audience.
2. Agenda – The Board approved the Sep 4th agenda as presented.
3. Recognition - Fire Engineer Brandon Stallings and Sanitation Equipment Operator II John McDowell were recognized by the Board for five years of service. Tax Collector Linda Bittner was recognized by the Board for ten years of service.
4. Presentation - Outer Banks Visitors Bureau Executive Director, Lee Nettles, introduced the *Outer Banks Promise* initiative and Community Engagement Manager, Jeff Schwartzberg. Mr. Schwartzberg presented a short video on the *Outer Banks Promise* initiative which encourages people to preserve the natural environment and cultural heritage of the Outer Banks by signing an online pledge.
5. Proclamation - Public Information Officer, Roberta Thuman, presented a *CCEDS Challenge at the Coast* powerpoint, prepared by Coastal Community Environmental Data Scholars (CCEDS) made up of ECU PHD candidates in partnership with the Town, CSI, and NSF. The emphasis is on encouraging water quality protection. The Board approved the proclamation proclaiming Sep 16-20, 2024, as Septic Smart Week.
6. Proclamation - Police Chief Perry Hale summarized upcoming National Night Out activities scheduled for Oct 1st at Dowdy Park. He is looking forward to this year's event and appreciates the Board's support and participation. The Board approved the proclamation in support of Oct 1st as National Night Out as presented.
7. Proclamation – The Board approved the proclamation proclaiming Diaper Need Awareness Week as Sep 23–29, 2024 as presented.
8. Public Comment
Steve House, Dare County Comr. and president of the Outer Banks Jeep Invasion organization; he thanked the Town and the Town's Police Dept as well as the Dare County Tourism Board for supporting Jeep Invasion events and he is looking forward to this year's event at the Soundside Event Site - Sep 20 – 22, 2024.

Aida Havel, Salvo; she is running for Dare Co Comr; she spoke of the Buxton beach environmental disaster which is now over one year old; she suggested those interested to view two online videos from the Buxton Civic Association; she asked for the Board's continued support for resolution of this issue; she noted the workforce housing issue later on today's agenda and she is looking for creative solutions.
9. Consent agenda – The Consent Agenda was approved as presented and consisted of the following:
 - Budget Amendment #3 to FY 24/25 Budget
 - Tax Adjustment Reports - FY 24/25 Report (New Year and Year-to-date)
 - Approval of minutes
 - Policy for neighborhoods to request traffic calming devices, presented at the Aug 7th Board meeting
 - Request for Public Hearing - to consider map amendment to rezone vacant property from C-3 to C-2
10. Public Hearing - to consider text amendments to the UDO as it pertains to the use of multi-family dwelling development - Town Manager Garman provided a series of slides detailing the background of the

multi-family dwelling process. Robin Morgan, David Shufflebarger, Peter Pinto, Valerie Netsch, David Thompson, David Elder, Bob Muller, Duke Geraghty, Haley Morgan; Katie Wilkins, Megan Vaughan, and Molly Harrison spoke concerning the multi-family issue. The Board tabled consideration of the ordinance and scheduled a workshop for Sep 18th at 9 am with action tabled until the Oct 2nd Board meeting. The motion to table passed 4 – 1 with Mayor Cahoon casting the NO vote.

11. Public Hearing - to consider a text amendment to the UDO submitted by Anlauf Engineering, PLLC on behalf of Ark Church, to modify the definition of "Religious Complex" to include an additional single-family residence for church staff, in addition to the existing allowance for an onsite parsonage. Bob Muller spoke in support of the amendment. The Board adopted the ordinance as presented.

12. Public Hearing - to consider amendments to the UDO as it pertains to dormitory use in the SED-80, Special Environmental District – The Board adopted the ordinance as presented.

13. Update from Planning Director - Planning Director Kelly Wyatt summarized her monthly report.

14. Traffic Study - Results of the Board-requested Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB) traffic study at the intersection of Lakeside St and Hwy 158 were discussed. Mayor Cahoon suggested putting all options forward to locate the necessary funds for the signal which would not take place until 2026 at the earliest.

15. Committee Reports

Comr. Brinkley – Jennette's Pier update - the June and July visitation numbers exceeded last year's numbers and the expectations of pier management; it has been a busy summer with week-long camps and fishing programs; Wind turbines are to be inspected by an engineer but there is no timeline yet for that to occur.

Comr. Brinkley - Septic Loan – Staff is to prepare septic loan repayment extension for Board consideration.

16. Resolution – The Board passed a motion to adopt the resolution in support of Area of Environmental Control (AEC) protections for Jockey's Ridge State Park as presented.

17. Town Manager Garman - Town Engineer David Ryan provided an update on the construction of the Public Services Facility.

18. Comr. Brinkley - Comr. Brinkley noted that there are two weeks to the Multi-Family Workshop (on Sep 18th) and he encouraged staff to actively promote the workshop.

19. Comr. Brinkley - Comr. Brinkley spoke of the Nags Head Lifesaving Station Marker that Ralph Buxton brought forward in his presentation at last month's Board meeting. It was Board consensus to concur with the marker and Comr. Brinkley said that he would work with Mr. Buxton on finding the location.

20. Comr. Sanders - Comr. Sanders spoke of a recent water leak at his restaurant that staff found via the new AMI Smart Meter software - he was able to fix and repair the leak before major damage was done.

21. Mayor Cahoon - Mayor Cahoon recognized Town Manager Andy Garman for ten years of service, noting that he also worked for the Town from 2000 to 2005.

22. Closed Session – The Board entered Closed Session to confer with the attorney re: attorney/client privilege and to discuss possible acquisition of property at 4222 S Croatan Hwy. The time was 12:14 pm.

23. Open Session - The Board re-entered Open Session at 12:30 p.m.

24. Adjournment – The Board recessed to a mid-month Multi-Family Ordinance Workshop on Wednesday, Sep 18th at 9 am in the Board Room. The time was 12:31 p.m.



MEMORANDUM

Town of Nags Head

Planning & Development Department

To: Planning Board

From: Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director
Joseph Costello, Deputy Planning Director

Date: September 13, 2024

Subject: Discussion of parking standards for hotel use and restaurant uses within the Town.

UPDATED STAFF REPORT FOR PLANNING BOARDS SEPTEMBER 17, 2024 MEETING

At the August meeting, Planning staff presented the Planning Board with a brief analysis of current and previous parking standards for both hotels and restaurants. Staff noted that while potential amendments to hotel parking standards may be relatively straightforward, addressing restaurant parking is more complex due to additional factors such as outdoor dining and entertainment areas, which are not currently contemplated in our standard, and likely should be. Several Board members expressed interest in understanding whether neighboring localities had encountered any issues with their parking standards or if they found them adequate.

While we are still awaiting a response from Kill Devil Hills, I did hear back from Rob Testerman, the Planning Director of Kitty Hawk. He indicated that there have been no concerns or complaints suggesting their hotel parking standards are inadequate. He also noted that only two hotels have been constructed under their current standards—the Hilton and the Holiday Inn Express.

Planning staff will be available for further discussion. If the Planning Board comes to a consensus on any amendments to the hotel parking standard, staff will bring those back to the Planning Board in October as an action item.

The staff report and Attachment A from the August 20th meeting are included below as information.

STAFF REPORT FROM PLANNING BOARDS AUGUST 20, 2024 MEETING

BACKGROUND

At their August 7, 2024 meeting, the Board of Commissioners requested that staff review the Town's existing parking standards for hotel use and restaurant use to determine if they are adequate and reflective of the town's current needs. A brief analysis is provided below for your consideration and to help facilitate discussion.

Hotel Parking Review

The current standard for hotel parking is provided below and was applied to the recently approved 87-room hotel, Inn at Whalebone.

Residential - Group	Hotels	One parking space for each hotel unit without kitchen facilities; 1.2 parking spaces for each unit with kitchen facilities; plus one parking space for every 100 square feet of conference or assembly area. For other accessory uses such as restaurants or retail, parking requirements shall be calculated at 75% of the standard for each permitted accessory use.
------------------------	--------	--

The hotel parking standard found in Town Code Supplement 47, dated January 16, 2019, and prior to the adoption of the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) is provided below.

Hotel	1.2 parking spaces for each hotel unit or hotel efficiency unit to be rented, plus one parking space for each four employees.
-------	---

This parking standard would have resulted in a minimum of 105 parking spaces to accommodate the 87 hotel units proposed with Inn at Whalebone. This does not account for required employee parking.

The hotel parking standard found in Town Code Supplement 5, dated August 1989 is provided below.

Hotel, tourist home, motel, rooming or boarding house	1.2 parking spaces for each unit to be rented or one (1) parking space per bedroom, whichever is greater, plus one (1) parking space for each employee on the largest shift.
---	--

In addition, Attachment A included in the packet is a breakdown of how other coastal communities in North Carolina regulate hotel parking.

Restaurant Parking Review

The current standards for restaurant parking are provided below. Definitions and the Supplemental Regulations associated with these uses are provided for your consideration as well.

Food Service	Restaurant - Drive In	One parking space per 55 square feet of customer service area or a minimum of 20 parking spaces, whichever is greater, plus required parking spaces for any other use including, but not limited to drive-through restaurant.
Food Service	Restaurant - Neighborhood	One parking space for every 55 square feet of indoor customer service area. In addition, a neighborhood restaurant may have on-site outdoor customer service area in an amount up to 50% of the indoor customer service area which will be exempt from restaurant parking requirement.
Food Service	Restaurant - Sit Down	One parking space for every 55 square feet of indoor customer service area.
Food Service	Restaurant - Take Out	One parking space for every 200 square feet of customer waiting and retail area plus 1 parking space for each employee, but no less

		than 2 employee parking spaces.
--	--	---------------------------------

Current Definitions

Restaurant means an establishment engaged in the service of food and/or beverages to patrons seated inside a building. A restaurant site may contain more than one principal restaurant building, or one principal restaurant building in combination with another principal drive-in restaurant, drive-through restaurant, or takeout restaurant building.

Restaurant, drive-in means an establishment whose principal business is the serving of prepared food, desserts and/or beverages to a customer, either within a motor vehicle parked upon the premises or at other facilities on the premises outside the restaurant building.

Restaurant, drive-through means an establishment where drive lane facilities are provided for the serving of prepared food, frozen desserts or beverages directly to a customer in a motor vehicle by a means which eliminates the need for the customer to exit the motor vehicle.

Restaurant, neighborhood means a restaurant situated and designed to serve a small client base fronting on NC 12 comprised of less than 1,000 square feet of indoor customer service area.

Restaurant, sit-down means an establishment that sells food and beverages in a ready-to-consume state primarily to persons who are seated within the building or outside on the premises.

Restaurant, takeout means an establishment engaged in the preparation of food and/or beverages which are delivered or picked up and consumed by patrons off-premises.

Customer service area means the area within a restaurant or restaurant drive-in, open to the general public and designated for the purchase and/or consumption of food, drink, or other similar items. Customer service area shall include indoor and/or outdoor seating areas, indoor and/or outdoor lounge and bar areas, decks, porches, and patios but shall not include stairs, stair landings, handicapped ramps, restrooms, kitchen and food preparation areas, private offices, loading areas, hallways, exit access and exit discharge areas, and any other areas not open to the general public. Customer service area shall not include outdoor seating areas, or outdoor decks, porches or patios where such areas are not designated for the purchase of food, drink, or similar items and instead are used primarily as waiting areas for customers who are waiting to be seated in indoor customer service areas. Additionally, customer service area shall not include any outdoor areas used by the customers of restaurants located in shopping centers.

Supplemental Standards for Restaurant Uses

Section 7.28 - Restaurant, Drive-In.

Restaurant, drive-in, is permitted in accordance with [Section 6.6](#), Table of Uses and Activities, subject to other requirements of this UDO and provided that the following conditions are met:

- 7.28.1. In addition to the buffering requirements of [Section 10.93](#), Landscaping, Buffering, and Vegetation Preservation, the site shall be buffered from all adjacent properties utilizing a 10-foot wide Commercial Transitional Protective Yard as prescribed in [Section 10.93](#).

Section 7.29 - Reserved.

Editor's note— Ord. No. [24-03-004](#), Art. III, Pt. II, adopted March 6, 2024, deleted §§ 7.29—7.29.2, which pertained to drive-through restaurants.

Section 7.30 - Restaurant, Neighborhood.

Restaurant, neighborhood, is permitted in accordance with [Section 6.6](#), Table of Uses and Activities, subject to other requirements of this UDO and provided that the following conditions are met:

- 7.30.1. To be classified as a neighborhood restaurant, the indoor customer service area shall be less than 1,000 square feet.
 - 7.30.2. An on-site outdoor customer service area in an amount up to 50% of the indoor customer service area is also permitted.
 - 7.30.3. A restaurant site may contain more than one principal restaurant building, or one principal restaurant building in combination with another principal drive-in restaurant, or takeout restaurant building.
 - 7.30.4. Uses qualifying as a restaurant shall meet the following criteria:
 - 7.30.4.1. A food preparation area that is at least twenty (20) percent of the gross building square footage of the principal building. The square footage of food preparation area located in an on-site accessory restaurant use building or a second on-site drive-in, drive-through, or takeout restaurant may be applied when calculating this minimum 20% requirement. But when calculated together (principal and accessory or second principal buildings), in no event shall the food preparation area of the principal building be permitted to be less than ten (10) percent of the principal building gross square footage; and
 - 7.30.4.2. At least seventy-five (75) percent of all customer seats shall be designated for full-service, full-menu dining; and
 - 7.30.4.3. No more than fifteen (15) percent of the total building square footage shall be devoted to accessory entertainment uses including but not limited to, dance floor, lounges, bars, stages, live performance, and disc jockey areas. Accessory entertainment uses referenced in this section shall be permitted in a restaurant establishment provided these uses are clearly subordinated in area, extent, hours of operation, and purpose to areas designated for food and/or beverage preparation, service, and consumption.
- (Ord. No. [20-05-005](#), Art. III, Pt. X, 5-6-2020; Ord. No. [24-03-004](#), Art. III, Pt. III, 3-6-2024)

Section 7.31 - Restaurant, Sit Down.

Restaurant, sit down, is permitted in accordance with [Section 6.6](#), Table of Uses and Activities, subject to other requirements of this UDO and provided that the following conditions are met:

- 7.31.1. A restaurant site may contain more than one principal restaurant building, or one principal restaurant building in combination with another principal drive-in restaurant, or takeout restaurant building.
- 7.31.2. Uses qualifying as a restaurant shall meet the following criteria:
 - 7.31.2.1. A food preparation area that is at least twenty (20) percent of the gross building square footage of the principal building. The square footage of food preparation area located in an on-site accessory restaurant use building or a second on-site drive-in, drive-through, or takeout restaurant may be applied when calculating this minimum 20% requirement. But when calculated together (principal and accessory or second principal buildings), in no event shall the food preparation area of the principal building be permitted to be less than ten (10) percent of the principal building gross square footage; and,
 - 7.31.2.2. At least seventy-five (75) percent of all customer seats designated for full-service, full-menu dining; and,
 - 7.31.2.3. No more than fifteen (15) percent of the total building square footage devoted to accessory entertainment uses including but not limited to dance floor, lounges, bars, stages, live performance, and disc jockey areas. Accessory entertainment uses referenced in this section shall be permitted in a restaurant

establishment provided these uses are clearly subordinated in area, extent, hours of operation, and purpose to areas designated for food and/or beverage preparation, service, and consumption.

The restaurant parking standard found in Town Code Supplement 47, dated January 16, 2019, and prior to the adoption of the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) is provided below. Definitions of these terms are provided below as well, note that in 2019 the regulations governing these uses were incorporated into the definitions and not as separate supplemental regulations.

Restaurant	One parking space per 55 square feet of indoor customer service area.
Restaurant (sites with multiple principal buildings)	Parking spaces for each principal restaurant use building shall be as provided for in this section. Shared parking among proposed principal use buildings may be permitted if: (1) there is fully integrated on-site parking and traffic flow; and (2) the principal use buildings have staggered or different business hours. Any overlapping of business hours shall preclude the shared use of parking spaces.
Restaurant, drive-in	One parking space per 55 square feet of customer service area or a minimum of 20 parking spaces, whichever is greater, plus required parking spaces for any other use including, but not limited to drive-through restaurant.
Restaurant, drive-through	A minimum of ten additional parking spaces, plus required parking spaces for any other use including, but not limited to, restaurant customer area or drive-in restaurant.
Restaurant, neighborhood	Parking: One parking space for every 55 square feet of indoor customer service area. In addition, a neighborhood restaurant may have on-site outdoor customer service area in an amount up to 50 percent of the indoor customer service area which will be exempt from restaurant parking requirement.
Restaurant, takeout	One parking space for every 200 square feet of customer waiting and retail area plus one parking space for each employee, but no less than two employee parking spaces.

The relevant definitions for restaurant uses, including "customer service area", are the same in the 2019 Zoning Ordinance as in the current Unified Development Ordinance with the exception that the percentages of food preparation area, full-service seating, and entertainment associated with restaurants are included in the definition and not within separate supplemental regulations.

The restaurant parking standard found in Town Code Supplement 5, dated August 1989 is provided below.

Restaurant, Café, or Public Eating Place	One (1) parking space per 55 square feet of customer area to include seating area, lounge and bar areas, decks, porches, and patios, but excluding stairs, stair landings, handicapped ramps, restrooms, kitchen and food preparation areas, and other areas not open to the general public.
--	--

Drive-in Restaurant, refreshment stand, carry-out food establishment	Thirty (30) parking spaces in addition to the requirements for a restaurant, café or public eating place.
--	---

In 1989 there was no definition for “customer area”.

The restaurant parking standard found in Town Code Supplement 2, dated September 1980 is provided below.

Restaurant, Café, or Public Eating Place	One (1) parking space for every three (3) customer seats, plus one additional parking space for each three (3) employees.
Drive-in Restaurant, refreshment stand, carry-out food establishment	Thirty (30) parking spaces in addition to the requirements for a restaurant, café or public eating place.

In addition, Attachment B included in the packet is a breakdown of how other coastal communities in North Carolina regulate restaurant parking.

STAFF REVIEW

While both hotel and restaurant parking are discussion items at this time, staff would submit that we can likely come to consensus on what, if any, amendments are needed to the UDO to address hotel use parking much more quickly than we can that off restaurant use parking.

There are several aspects of restaurant parking that require more detailed review and discussion, these include but are not limited to:

- The current parking standard has been applied to sit down restaurants for well over 10 years, any changes to the standard could result in site nonconformities for existing restaurants.
- Regulating restaurant parking by customer service area can become problematic if that area changes unbeknownst to staff. If a restaurant changes hands, the new tenant may wish to increase the amount of customer service area, thus making the existing parking count inadequate. In this scenario, a parking standard based upon gross floor may be more appropriate. What are the pros and cons of regulating based upon gross floor area? Kill Devil Hills, Sunset Beach, Carolina Beach, Oak Island, and Atlantic Beach regulate restaurant parking based upon gross floor area. Southern Shores and Duck regulates restaurant parking based upon customer seats. Wrightsville Beach regulates restaurant parking based upon the maximum occupancy allowed (Attachment B).
- The existing definition of “customer service area” states that it is the area designated for the purchase and/or consumption of food, drink, or other similar items. The definition further goes on to exclude outdoor seating areas not designated for the purchase of food, drink, or similar items and instead are used primarily as waiting areas for customers who are waiting to be seated in indoor customer service areas. Staff submits that these outdoor areas, while initially were primarily used for waiting, gradually transition into areas which may very well need to be included in a parking standard (drinks served while waiting, in some instances appetizers being provided while “waiting”, etc.).

- Should we address outdoor entertainment areas associated with restaurants in the parking requirements? Should we address outdoor entertainment areas generally?
- Should we include a parking requirement for employees/staff? Note that the Town of Duck, Southern Shores, and Wrightsville Beach regulate employee parking (Attachment B).

Staff will be available at the Planning Board's August 20, 2024 meeting for further discussion.

Hotel Parking Standards from Coastal NC Towns

Town	Type of Establishment	Parking Requirement
Kill Devil Hills	Hotel and motel	1.2 parking spaces per unit, plus 1 space per employee
	Hotel/motel with restaurant	1.2 spaces per unit, plus 1 space per employee, plus 1 space per 200 sq. ft. of gross floor area
	Hotel/motel with retail, office, meetings	1.2 spaces per unit, plus 1 space per employee, plus 1 space per 200 sq. ft. for secondary uses
	Hotel/motel event gardens	1 space per 3 persons the garden is designed to accommodate
Kitty Hawk	(Residential Uses) Hotels, tourist homes, motels, motor courts, rooming/boarding houses	1.5 spaces per rented room, plus 1 additional space per 3 employees
	Hotel and motel	1.15 spaces per rental room
	Hotel with restaurant in hotel structure	1 space per 3 restaurant seats for 50% of seating capacity
	Hotel with restaurant in separate building	1 space per 3 restaurant seats
	Hotel with retail space in hotel structure	1 space per 350 sq. ft. of leasable retail space (less 500 sq. ft.) with a minimum of 1 space
	Hotel with retail space in separate building	1 space per 350 sq. ft. of enclosed leasable retail space
	Hotel with a lounge in hotel structure	1 space per 3 lounge seats for 50% of seating capacity
	Hotel with lounge providing live entertainment	1 space per 3 lounge seats
	Hotel with lounge in separate building	1 space per 3 lounge seats
	Hotel with meeting rooms in hotel structure	1 space per 3 meeting room seats for 50% of seating capacity
Southern Shores	Hotel, motel	1.5 spaces per rented room, plus 1 space per employee
Duck	Hotel, tourist home, motel, motor court, rooming/boarding house	1.5 spaces per rented room, plus 1 space per 3 employees
	Hotel resort with ancillary uses	1 space per rented room, plus 1 space per 3 employees

	Retail, conference center, spa, office, events pavilion (ancillary uses)	1 space per 200 sq. ft. of gross floor area x 0.75
	Eating establishment (ancillary use)	1 space per 3 indoor customer seats, plus 1 space per 150 sq. ft. of outdoor dining area, plus 1 space per 3 employees x 0.75
Sunset Beach	Hotel or motel	1 space per sleeping room, plus 1 space per 4 seats in meeting or assembly rooms
Wrightsville Beach	Motels, hotels	1 space per rented room, 1.5 spaces per 2-room suite, 2 spaces per suite above 2 rooms, plus 1 space per 2 employees
Carolina Beach	Hotel/motel (not condominiums)	1 space per sleeping room, plus 1 space per 4 seats in meeting/assembly rooms; 25% parking reduction if 50+ spaces required
Emerald Isle	Hotels and inns	1 space per rental room, plus 1 space per 3 employees on largest shift
Oak Island	Lodging	1 space per room, plus 1 space per employee
Atlantic Beach	Visitor accommodations, major	1 space per room, plus 1 space per employee, plus spaces for associated uses
	Visitor accommodations, minor	1 space per room, plus 1 space per employee



MEMORANDUM

Town of Nags Head

Planning & Development Department

To: Board of Commissioners
Planning Board

From: Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director
Joe Costello, Deputy Planning Director

Date: August 28, 2024

Subject: Planning and Development Director's Report (G-1)

This memo provides an overview of selected Planning and Development Department activities, projects, and initiatives. If requested, Staff will be prepared to discuss any of this information in detail at the Board of Commissioners meeting on September 4th, 2024.

Monthly Activity Report

Attached for the Board's review is the *Planning and Development Monthly Report for July 2024*. In addition to permitting, inspections, code enforcement, and Todd D. Krafft Septic Health Initiative activities, Staff was involved in the following meetings or activities of note during the month of July:

- Tuesday, August 6th – Technical Review Committee Meeting
- Wednesday, August 7th - Board of Commissioners Meeting
- Thursday, August 8th – Board of Adjustment (no hearings scheduled)
- August 10th – August 14th – Planner Chris Trembly attend Certified Zoning Official Conference
- Wednesday, August 14th – Committee for Art and Culture Meeting
- Tuesday, August 20th – Planning Board Meeting
- Wednesday, August 21st – Board of Commissioners mid-month meeting
- Wednesday, August 28th – CRS Cycle Verification Visit
- Wednesday, August 28th – Outer Banks Hazard Mitigation Joint Committee Meeting (1pm)
- Dowdy Park Farmers Market – Thursday, August 1st, 8th, and final market on 15th
- Dowdy Park Summer Concert Series – Wednesday, August 7th, 14th, and 21st

Planning Board - Pending Applications and Discussions

The Planning Board's most recent meeting was held on Tuesday, August 20, 2024. The following items were heard:

- Consideration of a map amendment request submitted by Chris Greening of Coastal Bluewater Capital, LLC as authorized by property owner Mazzi, LLC to rezone the property located at 0 W. Satterfield Landing Road, Lot 2a-1r of the Charles Sineath Subdivision, (Parcel # 005618002) from C-3, Commercial Services to C-2, General Commercial. This is the vacant property west of TW's Bait and Tackel. The Planning Board voted unanimously to recommend approval of the map amendment request as presented. This item is on the Board of Commissioners September 4th Consent Agenda requesting Public Hearing to be held on October 2nd, 2024.

-
- Consideration of various amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance as it pertains to the use of multi-family dwelling developments. The Planning Board discussed this item at length and voted 5 to 1 to recommend adoption of the Multi-Family Working Group's draft ordinance with several revisions. The Public Hearing for this item is scheduled for the Board of Commissioners September 4th, 2024 meeting.
 - Discussion of minimum required parking standards for hotel use and restaurant use within the Town. Staff will provide the Planning Board with additional requested information at their September 17th meeting.
 - Discussion and update on potential Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) ordinance and existing conditions within the town. Planning Board members emphasized the importance of hearing from Nags Head citizens, including both supporters of ADUs and those with concerns, before drafting new language. Staff requested that Planning Board members share any groups, organizations, or individuals who should be personally invited to an upcoming meeting. In addition to receiving public comments at their September 17th meeting, an evening session has been scheduled for Wednesday, September 18th, from 6:00 – 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room for residents unable to attend during work hours. This opportunity for public input has been and will continue to be widely advertised through various channels to encourage community participation.

The Planning Board's next meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, September 17th, 2024. Currently, the agenda is expected to include consideration of a Special Use/Site Plan review for construction of a duplex for the purpose of Town of Nags Head lifeguard housing at 425 W. Health Center Drive, continued discussion on parking standards for hotel and restaurant uses, and continued discussion with community engagement regarding Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU's).

Board of Adjustment – Recent and Pending Applications

There were no items for the Board of Adjustments consideration in August 2024.

Additional Updates

- **Estuarine Shoreline Management Plan** – The Town was awarded a grant of \$500,000 under the N.C. Resilient Coastal Communities Program to assist in completing the engineering and design work for the Villa Dunes and Soundside Road estuarine marsh restoration and marsh stabilization projects. It will be November before we know about the NC Land and Water Fund Grant that was applied for to assist with the Harvey Site/OBVB site. Additionally, staff are researching using a combination of Community Conservation Assistance Program (CCAP) funds and Coastal Federation cost share funds for a shoreline stabilization along the causeway.
- **Electric Vehicle Action Plan** – LoWire Technologies has completed the installation of two-Level II EV chargers at Town Hall. Shoshin is scheduled to install an outside access point for the charging stations. Once we finalize payment and the station management interface, these units will be available for public use. A DEQ representative will conduct an onsite inspection to ensure all grant requirements for reimbursement have been met
- **Sand Relocation and Dune Management Cost Share Program** – Following the Board of Commissioners' allocation of \$400,000 for the FY 24-25 Sand Relocation and Dune Management Cost Share Program, staff is currently reviewing and updating educational materials and the sand relocation application. The application period is expected to open on November 1, 2024, for review purposes only. In preparation, staff will host an educational presentation and Q&A session in mid-October for equipment operators, property owners, and other interested parties interested in participating in this season's program.

-
- **Public Beach and Coastal Waterfront Access Grant Program** – Staff has submitted the final application for the improvements at the June Street Beach Access and anticipates receiving notification regarding the grant award by late September or early October.
 - **Dowdy Park Events/Farmers Market/Holiday Markets/Art & Culture** – As summer winds down, so have some of our events. The Dowdy Park Farmers Markets have concluded for the season. The rescheduled evening market was a great success, with strong attendance and positive feedback from vendors on their sales. Family Fun Nights have also wrapped up, and Kids Night was a huge hit. Special thanks to the Nags Head Police and Fire Departments for their participation.

The Summer Concert Series has ended as well, with Event Coordinator Paige Griffin noting that concert attendance significantly increased this season. There was a growing sense of excitement and community, with many familiar faces returning for each event.

While Fitness Fridays have concluded, Tuesday morning Yoga sessions will continue through October 22nd.

Movies in the Park will run over the next several months. The next movie night is scheduled for September 6th, featuring *Twister* starting around 8 p.m. Additional movie nights are planned for October 4th and November 1st.

Event Coordinator Paige Griffin is currently finalizing the Holiday Market application process. Keep an eye on our website and social media for details!



Upcoming Meetings and Other Dates

- Tuesday, September 3rd - Technical Review Committee Meeting
- Wednesday, September 4th - Board of Commissioners Meeting
- Thursday, September 11th – Committee for Art and Culture Meeting
- Thursday, September 12th – Board of Adjustment Meeting (no hearings scheduled)
- Thursday, September 12th – CRS Users Group Meeting
- Tuesday, September 17th – Planning Board Meeting
- Wednesday, September 18th – Board of Commissioners mid-month meeting
- Dowdy Park Movie Night, Friday, September 6th at 8pm – TWISTER

TOWN OF NAGS HEAD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
MONTHLY REPORT
JULY 2024

DATE SUBMITTED: August 7, 2024

	Jul-24	Jul-23	Jun-24	2024-2025 FISCAL YTD	2023-2024 FISCAL YTD	FISCAL YEAR INCREASE/ DECREASE
BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED - RESIDENTIAL						
New Single Family	3	0	0	3	0	3
New Single Family, 3000 sf or >	1	0	1	1	0	1
Duplex - New	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub Total - New Residential	4	0	1	4	0	4
Miscellaneous (Total)	21	31	31	21	31	(10)
<i>Accessory Structure</i>	3	2	3	3	2	1
<i>Addition</i>	0	1	3	0	1	(1)
<i>Demolition</i>	0	0	1	0	0	0
<i>Move</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Remodel</i>	5	7	7	5	7	(2)
<i>Repair</i>	13	21	17	13	21	(8)
Total Residential	25	31	32	25	31	(6)
BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED - COMMERCIAL						
Multi-Family - New	0	0	0	0	0	0
Motel/Hotel - New	0	0	0	0	0	0
Business/Govt/Other - New	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal - New Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (Total)	7	4	2	7	4	3
<i>Accessory Structure</i>	1	2	1	1	2	(1)
<i>Addition</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Demolition</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Move</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Remodel</i>	1	2	0	1	2	(1)
<i>Repair</i>	5	0	1	5	0	5
Total Commercial	7	4	2	7	4	3
Grand Total	32	35	34	32	35	(3)
SUB-CONTRACTOR PERMITS						
Electrical	61	61	67	61	61	0
Gas	2	4	2	2	4	(2)
Mechanical	45	44	55	45	44	1
Plumbing	2	10	7	2	10	(8)
Fire Sprinkler	0	0	1	0	0	0
VALUE						
New Single Family	\$1,634,157	\$0	\$0	\$1,634,157	\$0	\$1,634,157
New Single Family, 3000 sf or >	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$975,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Duplex - New	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Misc (Total Residential)	\$791,232	\$1,010,866	\$2,253,969	\$791,232	\$1,010,866	(\$219,634)
Sub Total Residential	\$3,425,389	\$1,010,866	\$3,228,969	\$3,425,389	\$1,010,866	\$2,414,523
Multi-Family - New	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Motel/Hotel - New	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Business/Govt/Other - New	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Misc (Total Commercial)	\$196,537	\$180,200	\$139,303	\$196,537	\$180,200	\$16,337
Sub Total Commercial	\$196,537	\$180,200	\$139,303	\$196,537	\$180,200	\$16,337
Grand Total	\$3,621,926	\$1,191,066	\$3,368,272	\$3,621,926	\$1,191,066	\$2,430,860

**TOWN OF NAGS HEAD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
MONTHLY REPORT
JULY 2024**

DATE SUBMITTED: August 7, 2024

	Jul-24	Jul-23	Jun-24	2024-2025 FISCAL YTD	2023-2024 FISCAL YTD	FISCAL YEAR INCREASE/ DECREASE
ZONING						
Zoning Permits	35	44	38	35	44	(9)
Soil & Erosion	5	N/A	4	5	N/A	N/A
Stormwater Plans	1	N/A	4	1	N/A	N/A
CAMA						
CAMA LPO Permits	1	1	3	1	1	0
CAMA LPO Exemptions	1	3	4	1	3	0
Sand Relocations						N/A
CODE COMPLIANCE						
Cases Investigated	42	28	44	42	28	14
Warnings	2	2	3	2	2	0
NOVs Issued	40	26	41	40	26	14
Civil Citations (#)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Citations (\$)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTIC HEALTH						
Tanks inspected	8	17	10	8	17	(9)
Tanks pumped	6	9	9	6	9	(3)
Water quality sites tested	46	20	36	46	20	26
Personnel Hours in Training/School	39	25	34	3	25	(22)


Kelly Wyatt, Planning Director